arXiv:1511.00574v1 [hep-th] 2 Nov 2015

An elliptic Virasoro symmetry in 6d

Fabrizio Nieri

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University,
Box 516, SE-75120 Uppsala, Sweden.

E-mail: fb.nieri@gmail.com

Abstract: We define an elliptic deformation of the Virasoro algebra. We argue that the $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{T}^2$ Nekrasov partition function reproduces the chiral blocks of this algebra. We support this proposal by showing that at special points in the moduli space the 6d Nekrasov partition function reduces to the partition function of a 4d vortex theory supported on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2$, which is in turn captured by a free field correlator of vertex operators and screening charges of the elliptic Virasoro algebra.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Elliptic Virasoro Algebra **5**

2.1 Defining relation 5

2.2 Free field representation 6

2.3 Screening currents 7

2.4 Vertex operators 8

2.5 Correlators 9

3 4d holomorphic blocks **11**

4 6d Nekrasov partition function **15**

5 Discussion and outlook **19**

A Elliptic functions **21**

B Free boson tools **22**

C Screening current of the EVA **23**

D Relation with the elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra **24**

E The refined periodic strip **28**

1 Introduction

The past few years have seen significant advances in our understanding of supersymmetric gauge theories. Such progress has been largely possible due to the developments of supersymmetric localization techniques which have allowed many exact results to be obtained. One of these is the discovery by Alday, Gaiotto and Tachikawa (AGT) [1] that certain BPS observables of class $\mathcal{S}$ theories [2] of A_1 type can be computed in Liouville CFT, or Toda for higher rank [3]. In particular, the AGT correspondence identifies the $\mathbb{R}^4$ Nekrasov instanton partition function ($\mathcal{Z}_{\text{inst}}$) [4, 5] with the chiral blocks [6] of the Virasoro algebra (or W_M for A_{M-1} theories)

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{inst}}^{\mathbb{R}^4} \simeq \langle \gamma_\infty | \prod_{i=1}^{N} V_{\gamma_i}(x_i) | \gamma_0 \rangle_{\text{Vir}} \ .$$

The AGT relation is a powerful tool to get further insights into the gauge dynamics as certain aspects can be efficiently addressed in the 2d CFT side. For example, the study of defect operators [7–14] (for a recent review we refer to [15, 16] in [17]).

It seems to be quite important to understand whether AGT-like relations exist in other dimensions as well, in particular in 6d where much of the 4d physics finds its natural origin. One of the main motivations behind this work was indeed to explore the possibility of studying 6d theories through AGT inspired methods. Our results can be summarized in the proposal

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{inst}}^{\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{T}^2} \simeq \langle \gamma_\infty | \prod_{i=1}^{N} V_{\gamma_i}(x_i) | \gamma_0 \rangle_{e\text{-Vir}} \ ,$$

where the l.h.s captures the supersymmetric partition function of a 6d $(1,0)$ theory on a torus which can be engineered in M-theory by two M5-branes probing a transverse A_{N-1} singularity [18], while the r.h.s. represents the chiral blocks of an elliptically deformed Virasoro algebra, which we define.

This result can be read as the natural 1-parameter deformation (table 1) of the 5d

$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{inst}}$ on	Chiral blocks of
$\mathbb{R}^4$	Virasoro
$\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1$	q-Virasoro
$\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{T}^2$	e-Virasoro

Table 1. The AGT relation in various dimensions.

AGT relation [19], which we briefly recall here to pave the way for our analysis in this work. The $\mathbb{R}^4$ Nekrasov partition function and the Virasoro algebra have both a natural trigonometric deformation. The deformation of the former corresponds to

the $\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1$ Nekrasov partition function [4, 5], while the deformation of the latter corresponds to the q-Virasoro algebra (q-W_M) of [20, 21]. The identification of the two deformations implies the 5d AGT correspondence

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{inst}}^{\mathbb{R}^4\times S^1} \simeq \langle \gamma_\infty | \prod_{i=1}^{N} V_{\gamma_i}(x_i) | \gamma_0 \rangle_{q\text{-Vir}} \ .$$

Evidences supporting this idea were extensively discussed for example in [22, 23], and more recently in [24, 25]. A complete 5d AGT relation beyond the chiral level was also proposed in [26, 27], where the S^5 [28–35] and $S^4 \times S^1$ [36–38] partition functions of the 5d lift of class $\mathcal{S}$ theories [39] of A_1 type were shown to be described by correlators in two distinct QFTs with q-Virasoro symmetry, and hence called q-CFTs (see also [40–42] for an analysis of the higher rank case).

Another neat argument in favor of the 5d AGT correspondence, which we adopt also in this paper for the 6d analysis, was given in [43] (see also the review [44] in [17]). It was shown that the $\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1$ Nekrasov instanton partition function of the $U(N)$ theory with N fundamental and anti-fundamental flavors reproduces, upon suitable specializations $a = a_*(r)$ of the Coulomb branch moduli, the $(N+2)$-point chiral blocks of the q-Virasoro algebra in the Dotsenko-Fateev free field integral representation [22]

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{inst}}^{\mathbb{R}^4\times S^1}\Big|_{a=a_*(r)} \simeq \oint \mathrm{d}z \, \langle \gamma_\infty | \prod_{i=1}^{N} V_{\gamma_i}(x_i) \prod_{j=1}^{r} S(z_j) | \gamma_0 \rangle_{q\text{-Vir}} \ ,$$

where the operator $S(z)$ denotes the screening current and the integral is computed by residues for specific choices of integration contours. The specialization of the Coulomb branch moduli corresponds to the root of the Higgs branch where vortex solutions exist and the dynamics can be effectively described by a 1/2 BPS codimension 2 theory on $\mathbb{R}^2_\epsilon \times S^1$. Partition functions of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories compactified on $\mathbb{R}^2_\epsilon \times S^1$ can be computed by means of the 3d holomorphic block integrals ($\mathcal{B}^{3\text{d}}$) introduced in [45] (see also [46] for previous work on 3d block factorization and [47] for a derivation of block integrals through localization)

$$\mathcal{B}^{3\text{d}} = \oint \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi \mathrm{i} z} \Upsilon^{3\text{d}}(z) \ ,$$

where the integral kernel $\Upsilon^{3\text{d}}(z)$ is a meromorphic function determined by the specific theory and the integration is over a basis of middle dimensional cycles in $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{|G|}$, G being the gauge group. It was pointed out in [43] that the free field integral representation of q-Virasoro chiral blocks manifestly matches the 3d block integrals of the $U(r)$ theory with N fundamental and anti-fundamental flavors, 1 adjoint and Fayet-Iliopoulos term. Combining all these observations, one gets the identifications

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{inst}}^{\mathbb{R}^4\times S^1}\Big|_{a=a_*(r)} \simeq \oint \mathrm{d}z \, \langle \gamma_\infty | \prod_{i=1}^{N} V_{\gamma_i}(x_i) \prod_{j=1}^{r} S(z_j) | \gamma_0 \rangle_{q\text{-Vir}} \simeq \mathcal{B}^{3\text{d}}[U(r)] \ .$$

In particular, the two parameters q, t of the q-Virasoro algebra are identified with the $\epsilon_{1,2}$ parameters of the 5d Ω-background $\mathbb{R}^4_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} \times S^1$, and with the angular momentum fugacity ϵ and adjoint real mass in the 3d theory. The choice $a = a_*(r)$ determines the rank r of the 3d gauge group and the number of screening currents, which must be in turn distributed amongst the N flavors and insertion points according to a choice of partition $r = \sum_{a=1}^{N} r_a$. This choice corresponds to an integration contour and provides additional discrete variables (filling fractions [48–50]) entering the allowed values of the internal momenta in the correlator. This kind of "triality" can be extended to quiver gauge theories and q-W_M correlators [51] (the generalization to DE root systems and applications to little string theories [52–54] can be found in [55]).

The natural lift of the 3d setup is provided by 4d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ gauge theories compactified on $\mathbb{R}^2_\epsilon \times \mathbb{T}^2$. Partition functions on this background can be computed through the 4d holomorphic block integrals ($\mathcal{B}^{4d}$) introduced in [56]. These objects can be thought of as a 1-parameter deformation of 3d block integrals, in the sense that

$$\mathcal{B}^{4d} = \oint \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi \mathrm{i} z} \Upsilon^{4d}(z) \quad \xrightarrow{\text{3d limit}} \quad \mathcal{B}^{3d} = \oint \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi \mathrm{i} z} \Upsilon^{3d}(z) \ .$$

Due to the algebraic interpretation of 3d holomorphic blocks with adjoint matter as q-Virasoro chiral blocks in free field representation, we are naturally led to ask whether 4d holomorphic blocks with adjoint can be similarly interpreted as chiral blocks of an elliptic deformation of the Virasoro algebra. A central result of this work is that we can give an affirmative answer to that question, namely

$$\mathcal{B}^{3d}[U(r)] \quad \xrightarrow{\text{4d lift}} \quad \mathcal{B}^{4d}[U(r)] \simeq \oint \mathrm{d}z \, \langle \gamma_\infty | \prod_{i=1}^{N} V_{\gamma_i}(x_i) \prod_{j=1}^{r} S(z_j) | \gamma_0 \rangle_{e\text{-Vir}} \ .$$

In turn, we are also able to match the evaluation of the 4d block integral with the topological string partition function on the Calabi-Yau geometry obtained by gluing two periodic strips [57–59], for special values of the Kähler moduli. The latter captures the M-theory partition function of two M5-branes extending in $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{T}^2$ and probing a transverse A_{N-1} singularity, and hence we can also argue that the 4d gauge theories under examination describe vortices of 6d $(1,0)$ theories so engineered. This chain of results, together with large r duality [60–63], strongly supports a 6d version of the AGT correspondence by identifying generic chiral blocks of the elliptic Virasoro algebra with $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{T}^2$ Nekrasov instanton partition functions.

Lately, 6d $(1,0)$ theories have attracted much attention [64–73]. We hope that the methods developed in this work will be useful to get further insights into the elusive 6d physics. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we define an elliptic deformation of the Virasoro algebra and compute free field correlators. In section 3 we briefly review the 4d block integral formalism and we consider its application to the $U(r)$ theory with adjoint matter, manifestly matching

free field correlators of the elliptic Virasoro algebra. In section 4 we compute the $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{T}^2$ Nekrasov instanton partition function by using the topological vertex on the periodic strip, and we show that at specific points it coincides with the elliptic vortex partition function of the 4d theory. In section 5 we discuss our results further as well as interesting directions for future research. More technical aspects are discussed in several appendices.

Note added: similar results have been independently obtained by A. Iqbal, C. Kozçaz and S.-T. Yau [74]. We thank the authors for sending their draft to us.

2 Elliptic Virasoro Algebra

In this section we define a 1-parameter deformation of the Deformed Virasoro Algebra (DVA or q-Virasoro) of [20], which we call the Elliptic Virasoro Algebra (EVA or e-Virasoro). We give a free field representation of the EVA and find its screening currents. We then compute free field correlators of suitably defined vertex operators and screening charges. The special functions used in this section are collected in appendix A, while part of our notation is set in appendix B.

2.1 Defining relation

We define the EVA to be associative algebra generated by the currents $T(z)$ satisfying the relation

$$f\left(\frac{w}{z}\right)T(z)T(w) - T(w)T(z)f\left(\frac{z}{w}\right) = -\frac{\Theta(q;q')\Theta(t^{-1};q')}{(q';q')_\infty^2\Theta(p;q')}\left(\delta\left(p\frac{w}{z}\right) - \delta\left(p^{-1}\frac{w}{z}\right)\right) , \tag{2.1}$$

where the structure function $f(x)$ is defined by the series expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} f_\ell x^\ell = \frac{\Gamma(x;p^2,q')\Gamma(p^2q^{-1}x;p^2,q')\Gamma(pqx;p^2,q')}{\Gamma(p^2x;p^2,q')\Gamma(pq^{-1}x;p^2,q')\Gamma(qx;p^2,q')} , \tag{2.2}$$

while $\delta(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} x^n$ is the multiplicative δ-function, i.e. $\delta(x)\phi(x) = \delta(x)\phi(1)$ for any Laurent series $\phi(x)$. The parameters q, t, q' are complex, $p = qt^{-1}$, and in the definition of $f(x)$ we have assumed $|p^2|, |q'| < 1$. We observe that the associativity of the algebra is equivalent to the requirement [75, 76]

$$f(x)f(xp^{-1}) - f(x^{-1})f(px^{-1}) \propto \delta(xp^{-1}) - \delta(x) , \tag{2.3}$$

arising from the Yang-Baxter equation for the EVA current. The validity of (2.3) can be explicitly verified by using (2.15), (2.16) due to $f(x)f(xp^{-1}) = \gamma(p^{-1/2}x)$.

Introducing the modes T_n of the EVA current

$$T(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} T_n z^{-n} , \tag{2.4}$$

the defining relation (2.1) is also equivalent to the quadratic algebra

$$[T_n, T_m] = -\sum_{\ell\neq 0} f_\ell (T_{n-\ell}T_{m+\ell} - T_{m-\ell}T_{n+\ell}) - \frac{\Theta(q;q')\Theta(t^{-1};q')}{(q';q')_\infty^2\,\Theta(p;q')}(p^n - p^{-n})\delta_{n+m,0} \ . \quad (2.5)$$

We observe that in the limit $q' \to 0$ we manifestly recover the DVA by using

$$\Gamma(x;p^2,0) = \frac{1}{(x;p^2)_\infty} \ , \quad \Theta(x;0) = 1-x \ . \quad (2.6)$$

This trigonometric limit can be indeed verified at various stage of our construction below, and in particular in the final relation (2.1).

2.2 Free field representation

In order to find a free field representation of the EVA, we introduce two commuting families of root type quantum bosonic oscillators α_n, β_n. They satisfy the commutation relations (we display the non-trivial relations only)

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha_n, \alpha_m] &= \frac{1}{n}(1-q'^{|n|})(q^{\frac{n}{2}} - q^{-\frac{n}{2}})(t^{\frac{n}{2}} - t^{-\frac{n}{2}})(p^{\frac{n}{2}} + p^{-\frac{n}{2}})\delta_{m+n,0} \ , \\ [\beta_n, \beta_m] &= \frac{q'^{|n|}}{n}(1-q'^{|n|})(q^{\frac{n}{2}} - q^{-\frac{n}{2}})(t^{\frac{n}{2}} - t^{-\frac{n}{2}})(p^{\frac{n}{2}} + p^{-\frac{n}{2}})\delta_{m+n,0} \ , \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$. We also introduce Heisenberg generators P, Q normalized according to

$$[\mathsf{P}, \mathsf{Q}] = 2 \ . \quad (2.8)$$

We start by defining the operators

$$\Lambda_\sigma(z) = :\mathrm{e}^{\sigma\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{z^{-n}}{(1+p^{-\sigma n})(1-q'^{|n|})}\alpha_n}\mathrm{e}^{\sigma\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{z^{n}}{(1+p^{\sigma n})(1-q'^{|n|})}\beta_n}: q^{\sigma\frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{2}\mathsf{P}}p^{\sigma\frac{1}{2}} \ , \quad \sigma \in \{+,-\} \ , \quad (2.9)$$

where $: \ :$ denotes normal ordering, i.e. all the positive oscillators to the right of the negative ones, and the P's to the right of the Q's. Using the commutation relations (2.7), the definition (A.9) of the elliptic Gamma function and the free boson tools summarized in appendix B, we can verify that the currents

$$\mathsf{T}(z) = \Lambda_+(z) + \Lambda_-(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathsf{T}_n z^{-n} \quad (2.10)$$

close the EVA.

The explicit verification of this claim is straightforward but lengthy. The key relations to be used are

$$\Lambda_\sigma(z)\Lambda_\rho(w) = :\Lambda_\sigma(z)\Lambda_\rho(w): f_{\sigma,\rho}(wz^{-1})^{-1} \ , \quad (\sigma,\rho) \in \{\pm,\pm\} \ , \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$f_{\sigma,\rho}(x) = \left(\frac{\Gamma(p^{\frac{\sigma-\rho}{2}}x;p^2,q')\Gamma(p^{\frac{\sigma-\rho}{2}}p^2q^{-1}x;p^2,q')\Gamma(p^{\frac{\sigma-\rho}{2}}pqx;p^2,q')}{\Gamma(p^{\frac{\sigma-\rho}{2}}p^2x;p^2,q')\Gamma(p^{\frac{\sigma-\rho}{2}}pq^{-1}x;p^2,q')\Gamma(p^{\frac{\sigma-\rho}{2}}qx;p^2,q')}\right)^{\sigma\rho} , \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$:\Lambda_+(z)\Lambda_-(p^{-1}z): = \mathbb{1} . \tag{2.13}$$

One also needs

$$f(x) = f_{+,+}(x) = f_{-,-}(x) = f_{+,-}(x)\gamma(p^{\frac{1}{2}}x) = f_{-,+}(x)\gamma(p^{-\frac{1}{2}}x) , \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$\gamma(x) = \frac{\Theta(p^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{-1}x;q')\Theta(p^{-\frac{1}{2}}qx;q')}{\Theta(p^{\frac{1}{2}}x;q')\Theta(p^{-\frac{1}{2}}x;q')} , \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$\gamma(x) - \gamma(x^{-1}) = -\frac{\Theta(q;q')\Theta(t^{-1};q')}{(q';q')_\infty^2\Theta(p;q')}\left(\delta(p^{\frac{1}{2}}x) - \delta(p^{-\frac{1}{2}}x)\right) , \tag{2.16}$$

which follows from the representation (C.7) of the δ-function.

Using $(M-1)$-dimensional oscillators $\vec{\alpha}_n$, $\vec{\beta}_n$ associated to the A_{M-1} root system, it is possible to extend our construction to define an elliptic version of the W_M algebra. We leave this generalization for a future publication.

2.3 Screening currents

The screening currents $\mathsf{S}(z)$ of the EVA in the free field representation (2.10) are defined by the relation

$$[\mathsf{T}(z),\mathsf{S}(w)] = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}_q w}\mathsf{A}(w) = \frac{\mathsf{A}(q^{\frac{1}{2}}w) - \mathsf{A}(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}w)}{w(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})} \tag{2.17}$$

for some operator $\mathsf{A}(w)$, so that the screening charge $\oint \mathrm{d}w\, \mathsf{S}(w)$ and the EVA generators commute. With the definition

$$\mathsf{S}(z) = :\mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{z^{-n}}{(q^{n/2}-q^{-n/2})(1-q'^{|n|})}\alpha_n}\mathrm{e}^{\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{z^n}{(q^{n/2}-q^{-n/2})(1-q'^{|n|})}\beta_n}:\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{\beta}\mathsf{Q}}z^{\sqrt{\beta}\mathsf{P}} , \tag{2.18}$$

we can verify that (2.17) is satisfied. Since the representation (2.18) will be crucial for actual computations, in appendix C we explicitly show how the claim (2.17) can be verified. A second screening current can also be defined through the map $q \to t$, $t \to q$, $\alpha_n \to -\alpha_n$, $\beta_n \to -\beta_n$, $\sqrt{\beta} \to 1/\sqrt{\beta}$, but we do not need it for our purposes.

The product of several screening currents can be written as

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \mathsf{S}(z_i) = :\prod_{i=1}^r \mathsf{S}(z_i): \times \prod_{1\leq i\neq j\leq r}\frac{\Gamma(tz_jz_i^{-1};q,q')}{\Gamma(z_jz_i^{-1};q,q')}\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq r}\frac{\Theta(tz_iz_j^{-1};q)}{\Theta(z_iz_j^{-1};q)} \times \prod_{i=1}^r z_i^{2\beta(r-i)} . \tag{2.19}$$

The last factor arises from the normal ordering of the zero modes

$$\prod_i \left(\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{\beta}\mathsf{Q}} z_i^{\sqrt{\beta}\mathsf{P}} \right) = \prod_i \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{\beta}\mathsf{Q}} \prod_i z_i^{\sqrt{\beta}\mathsf{P}} \times \prod_{i<j} z_i^{2\beta} \ , \tag{2.20}$$

and it can also be rewritten as

$$\prod_{i<j} z_i^{2\beta} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} z_i^{2\beta(r-i)} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_i^{\sqrt{\beta}(\sqrt{\beta}r-Q)}}{z_i} \times \prod_{1\le i<j\le r} \left(\frac{z_i}{z_j} \right)^{\beta} \ , \tag{2.21}$$

where we defined

$$Q = \sqrt{\beta} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \ . \tag{2.22}$$

The last factor in the r.h.s. of (2.21) can be put with the Θ's in (2.19) to form the q-constant

$$\prod_{1\le i<j\le r} \left(\frac{z_i}{z_j} \right)^{\beta} \frac{\Theta(t z_i z_j^{-1}; q)}{\Theta(z_i z_j^{-1}; q)} = \prod_{1\le i<j\le r} c_q(z_i z_j^{-1}) \ , \quad c_q(qx) = c_q(x) \ , \tag{2.23}$$

while we can use the product of the Γ's in (2.19) to define an elliptic Vandermonde-like determinant

$$\Delta_E(z) = \prod_{1\le i\ne j\le r} \frac{\Gamma(t z_i z_j^{-1}; q, q')}{\Gamma(z_i z_j^{-1}; q, q')} \ . \tag{2.24}$$

When considering free field correlators with integrated screening currents, this object will provide the integration measure. In fact, we can simply forget about the q-constant (2.23) because of the integration contour that we will prescribe.

2.4 Vertex operators

Let us define the following vertex operator built out of the bosonic oscillators

$$\mathsf{V}_u(x) = :\mathrm{e}^{\sum_{n\ne 0} \frac{[u]_n x^{-n}}{(q^{n/2}-q^{-n/2})(1-q'^{|n|})} \alpha_n} \mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{n\ne 0} \frac{[u]_n x^{n}}{(q^{n/2}-q^{-n/2})(1-q'^{|n|})} \beta_n} : \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{\beta}\mathsf{Q}} x^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{\beta}\mathsf{P}} \ , \tag{2.25}$$

where

$$[u]_n = \frac{u^{\frac{n}{2}} - u^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{(t^{\frac{n}{2}} - t^{-\frac{n}{2}})(p^{\frac{n}{2}} + p^{-\frac{n}{2}})} \ , \quad u = t^{\gamma} \ . \tag{2.26}$$

The "OPE" between a vertex operator and a screening current can be written as

$$\mathsf{V}_u(x)\mathsf{S}(z) = :\mathsf{V}_u(x)\mathsf{S}(z): \times \frac{\Gamma(q^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{-\frac{1}{2}} z x^{-1}; q, q')}{\Gamma(q^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{\frac{1}{2}} z x^{-1}; q, q')} \times x^{-\beta\gamma} \ , \tag{2.27}$$

where the last factor arises from the normal ordering of the zero modes

$$x^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{\beta}\mathsf{P}} \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{\beta}\mathsf{Q}} = \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{\beta}\mathsf{Q}} x^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{\beta}\mathsf{P}} \times x^{-\beta\gamma} \ . \tag{2.28}$$

In the following, we do not need the explicit form of the "OPE" between vertex operators alone.

2.5 Correlators

We are now ready to compute correlators of N vertex operators and r integrated screening currents. We start by using the previous manipulations to write

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N}\mathsf{V}_{u_i}(x_i)\prod_{i=1}^{r}\mathsf{S}(z_i)=:\prod_{i=1}^{N}\mathsf{V}_{u_i}(x_i)\prod_{i=1}^{r}\mathsf{S}(z_i):\times\text{“OPE”}\times\prod_{j=1}^{N}x_j^{-\beta r\gamma_j}\times$$
$$\times\,\Delta_E(z)\prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{z_i^{\sqrt{\beta}(\sqrt{\beta}r-Q)}}{z_i}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\Gamma(q^{\frac{1}{2}}u_j^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_ix_j^{-1};q,q')}{\Gamma(q^{\frac{1}{2}}u_j^{\frac{1}{2}}z_ix_j^{-1};q,q')}\ . \tag{2.29}$$

The “OPE” prefactor denotes all the normal ordering terms arising from vertex operators alone, which are not important for the present analysis. We can now compute the expectation value of (2.29) between “in” (ket) and “out” (bra) Fock states. The zero momentum Fock space is spanned by the vectors

$$\alpha_{-\mu}\beta_{-\nu}|0\rangle=\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)}\alpha_{-\mu_i}\prod_{j=1}^{\ell(\nu)}\beta_{-\nu_j}|0\rangle\ , \tag{2.30}$$

where μ,ν are length $\ell(\mu),\ell(\nu)$ partitions and $|0\rangle$ is the Fock vacuum, namely

$$\alpha_\mu\beta_\nu|0\rangle=0\ ,\quad \mathsf{P}|0\rangle=0\ . \tag{2.31}$$

The dual Fock space is spanned by

$$\langle 0|\alpha_\mu\beta_\nu\ , \tag{2.32}$$

where $\langle 0|$ is the dual Fock vacuum

$$\langle 0|\alpha_{-\mu}\beta_{-\nu}=0\ ,\quad \langle 0|\mathsf{P}=0\ ,\quad \langle 0|0\rangle=1\ . \tag{2.33}$$

The “in” and “out” vectors that we will consider are just charged Fock vacua obtained by acting with the zero mode Q on $|0\rangle,\langle 0|$, namely

$$|\gamma\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\gamma}{2}\mathsf{Q}}|0\rangle\ ,\quad \langle\gamma|=\langle 0|\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}\mathsf{Q}}\ , \tag{2.34}$$

so that

$$\mathsf{P}|\gamma\rangle=\gamma|\gamma\rangle\ ,\quad \langle\gamma|\mathsf{P}=\gamma\langle\gamma|\ ,\quad \langle\gamma|\gamma'\rangle=\delta_{\gamma,\gamma'}\ . \tag{2.35}$$

Sandwiching (2.29) between $|\gamma_0\rangle$ and $\langle\gamma_\infty|$ we get

$$\langle\gamma_\infty|\prod_{i=1}^{N}\mathsf{V}_{u_i}(x_i)\prod_{i=1}^{r}\mathsf{S}(z_i)|\gamma_0\rangle\propto\langle\gamma_\infty|\gamma_0+\sqrt{\beta}(2r-\sum_i\gamma_i)\rangle\times$$
$$\times\,\Delta_E(z)\prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{z_i^{\sqrt{\beta}(\gamma_0+\sqrt{\beta}r-Q)}}{z_i}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\Gamma(q^{\frac{1}{2}}u_j^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_ix_j^{-1};q,q')}{\Gamma(q^{\frac{1}{2}}u_j^{\frac{1}{2}}z_ix_j^{-1};q,q')}\ , \tag{2.36}$$

which is non-zero provided the neutrality condition $\sqrt{\beta}(2r-\sum_{i=1}^{N}\gamma_i)+\gamma_0-\gamma_\infty = 0$ holds. In this case the correlator integrated over the positions of the screening currents reads

$$G^{(N)}_{\gamma_\infty,\gamma_0} = \oint \prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}z_i}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\langle\gamma_\infty|\prod_{i=1}^{N}\mathsf{V}_{u_i}(x_i)\prod_{i=1}^{r}\mathsf{S}(z_i)|\gamma_0\rangle \propto$$

$$\propto \oint \prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}z_i}{2\pi\mathrm{i}z_i}\Delta_E(z)\prod_{i=1}^{r}z_i^{\sqrt{\beta}(\gamma_0+\sqrt{\beta}r-Q)}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\Gamma(q^{\frac{1}{2}}u_j^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_ix_j^{-1};q,q')}{\Gamma(q^{\frac{1}{2}}u_j^{\frac{1}{2}}z_ix_j^{-1};q,q')}\ , \tag{2.37}$$

where we left the integration contour momentarily unspecified. For later purposes, it is useful to reorder the integration variables $z_i \to z_{r-i+1}$, perform the change $z_i \to z_i^{-1}$, and set $q^{1/2}u_j^{-1/2}x_j^{-1} = y_j$. The combination of these transformations acts as the identity on $\Delta_E(z)$. Then we have

$$G^{(N)}_{\gamma_\infty,\gamma_0} \propto \oint \prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}z_i}{2\pi\mathrm{i}z_i}\Delta_E(z)\prod_{i=1}^{r}z_i^{\sqrt{\beta}(Q-\gamma_0-\sqrt{\beta}r)}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\Gamma(y_jz_i^{-1};q,q')}{\Gamma(u_jy_jz_i^{-1};q,q')}\ . \tag{2.38}$$

This integral looks like an elliptic deformation of the Dotsenko–Fateev representation of the chiral blocks of the DVA.[1] In fact, in the trigonometric limit $q' \to 0$ we get

$$\lim_{q'\to 0} G^{(N)}_{\gamma_\infty,\gamma_0} \propto \oint \prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}z_i}{2\pi\mathrm{i}z_i}\Delta_T(z)\prod_{i=1}^{r}z_i^{\sqrt{\beta}(Q-\gamma_\infty-\sqrt{\beta}r)}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\frac{(u_jy_jz_i^{-1};q)_\infty}{(y_jz_i^{-1};q)_\infty}\ , \tag{2.39}$$

where

$$\Delta_T(z) = \prod_{1\le i\ne j\le r}\frac{(z_iz_j^{-1};q)_\infty}{(tz_iz_j^{-1};q)_\infty} \tag{2.40}$$

is the trigonometric Vandermonde-like determinant appearing in the q-deformed β-ensemble studied for example in [22] in the context of the 5d AGT correspondence. In the special case $t = q^\beta$, $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we find

$$\Delta_E(z) = \prod_{1\le i\ne j\le r}\prod_{k=0}^{\beta-1}\Theta(q^kz_jz_i^{-1};q')\ , \tag{2.41}$$

which in the trigonometric limit $q' \to 0$ reduces to

$$\Delta_T(z) = \prod_{1\le i\ne j\le r}\prod_{k=0}^{\beta-1}(1-q^kz_jz_i^{-1})\ . \tag{2.42}$$

The latter represents, apart from a factor of $\prod_{i=1}^{r}z_i^{-\beta(r-1)}$ which can be reabsorbed into the integrand factors, the ordinary q-deformation [23] of the β-deformed rational Vandermonde determinant

$$\Delta_R(z) = \prod_{1\le i<j\le r}(z_i-z_j)^{2\beta}\ . \tag{2.43}$$

[1] For the role of Dotsenko-Fateev integrals in the 5d AGT framework we refer to [22–25, 43, 51].

3 4d holomorphic blocks

In [56] we have analyzed the structure of supersymmetric partition functions of 4d $\mathcal{N}=1$ theories with R-symmetry on compact manifolds ($\mathcal{M}_g^4$). This class of theories can be coupled to new minimal supergravity backgrounds [77], and in the rigid limit [78] 2 supercharges of opposite R-charge can be preserved. In this case $\mathcal{M}_g^4$ must be a Hermitian manifold given by a $\mathbb{T}^2$ fibration over a Riemann surface. When the base has the topology of S^2, $\mathcal{M}_g^4$ can be considered to be $S^3\times S^1$, $S^3/\mathbb{Z}_k\times S^1$ or $S^2\times\mathbb{T}^2$. From our viewpoint $\mathcal{M}_g^4$ is not an elementary geometry in the sense that it admits a Heegaard-like splitting into solid tori $D^2\times\mathbb{T}^2\simeq\mathbb{R}^2_\epsilon\times\mathbb{T}^2$ (figure 1)

$$\mathcal{M}_g^4\simeq\left(D^2\times\mathbb{T}^2\right)\cup_g\left(D^2\times\mathbb{T}^2\right)\,, \tag{3.1}$$

where g represents a certain element in $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ implementing the $\mathbb{T}^3$ boundary homeomorphism realizing the compact geometry and acting on the fibration moduli τ,σ. In this construction $\tau\propto\epsilon$ is to be identified with the disk equivariant parameter



Figure 1. Decomposition of $\mathcal{M}_g^4$ into solid tori $D^2\times\mathbb{T}^2$.

(Ω-deformation) while σ with the torus modular parameter. Eventually, one can map τ and σ to the complex structure parameters of $\mathcal{M}_g^4$ (see for example the discussion in [79, 80] and references therein).

These geometric observations acquire even more importance if we recall that the compact space partition functions of the class of theories we are considering are quasi-topological objects, as they depend on the complex structure but do not depend on the Hermitian metric [77, 81]. Assuming that there are deformations of $\mathcal{M}_g^4$ into a stretched geometry which preserve the complex structure (similarly to the 3d case [82]), one expects that the associated partition function (Z) can be factorized according to the underlying geometric decomposition of $\mathcal{M}_g^4$

$$Z_{\mathcal{M}_g^4}=\sum_c\left\|\mathcal{B}_c^{4\mathrm{d}}\right\|_g^2\,, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_c^{4d}$ is identified with the $\mathbb{R}^2_\epsilon \times \mathbb{T}^2$ partition function of the 4d theory and c runs over the supersymmetric vacua of the effective 2d theory. The functions $\mathcal{B}_c^{4d}$ were called 4d holomorphic blocks [56] because the g-pairing acts as an involution mapping a block to the conjugate block, where the g-action is on τ, σ and on a set of variables parametrizing global fugacities.

In [56] we have explicitly proved this structure in rank 1 gauge theories and argued its general validity for anomaly free theories by means of other arguments, such as the existence of a commuting set of difference operators annihilating the partition functions, Higgs branch localization [83] or the close relation to tt^* geometries [84]. In fact, for a given gauge theory we have found a specific recipe to compute $\mathcal{B}_c^{4d}$ through a block integral formalism, similar to that developed in [45] for the 3d case. The fundamental object of this formalism is an integral kernel $\Upsilon^{4d}(z)$ whose contour integrals produce the 4d holomorphic blocks

$$\mathcal{B}_c^{4d} = \oint_{\mathcal{P}_c} \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \Upsilon^{4d}(z) \ , \tag{3.3}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_c$ belongs to a basis of middle dimensional integration cycles in $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{|G|}$ which can be determined by the specific matter content and gauge group G. The kernel $\Upsilon^{4d}(z)$ can be assembled using the rules derived in [56], which can be briefly summarized as follows (we refer to [56] for a full account):

- To a vector multiplet we associate a factor of

$$\mathcal{B}^{4d}_{\text{vec}}(z) = \prod_\alpha \frac{1}{\Gamma(z_\alpha; q_\tau, q_\sigma)} \ , \tag{3.4}$$

  where α denotes a gauge root.

- To a chiral multiplet we associate a factor of

$$\mathcal{B}^{4d}_{\text{N}}(z,x) = \prod_\rho \Gamma(z_\rho x; q_\tau, q_\sigma) \ , \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{B}^{4d}_{\text{D}}(z,x) = \prod_\rho \frac{1}{\Gamma(q_\tau z_\rho^{-1} x^{-1}; q_\tau, q_\sigma)} \ , \tag{3.5}$$

  where ρ is a gauge weight while x is a global $U(1)$ fugacity.

The parameters $q_\tau = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ and $q_\sigma = e^{2\pi i \sigma}$ can be interpreted as fugacities for rotations on the disk and translations on the torus. We should also observe that the construction of the integral kernel suffers from some ambiguity represented by q_τ-constants (elliptic ratios of Theta functions).[2]

We can now apply the 4d block integral formalism to the higher rank example we have mentioned in the introduction, namely the $U(r)$ theory with N fundamentals and

[2] We will see that this is also related to the discussion around (2.23).

anti-fundamental chirals, 1 adjoint and FI parameter (ξ). Using the rules summarized above, the block integral for this theory can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}^{4\mathrm{d}} &= \oint \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\mathrm{d}z_i}{2\pi \mathrm{i} z_i} \Upsilon^{4\mathrm{d}}(z) \ , \\ \Upsilon^{4\mathrm{d}}(z) &= \prod_{1\leq i\neq j\leq r} \frac{\Gamma(t z_i z_j^{-1}; q_\tau, q_\sigma)}{\Gamma(z_i z_j^{-1}; q_\tau, q_\sigma)} \prod_{i=1}^{r} z_i^{\xi} \prod_{a=1}^{N} \frac{\Gamma(y_a z_i^{-1}; q_\tau, q_\sigma)}{\Gamma(u_a y_a z_i^{-1}; q_\tau, q_\sigma)} \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where for later convenience the global parameters have been encoded into t, u, y.[3]

In this parametrization the 4d block integral (3.6) is manifestly equal (up to prefactors) to the correlator (2.38) that we have introduced in the previous section

$$\mathcal{B}^{4\mathrm{d}} \propto G^{(N)}_{\gamma_\infty, \gamma_0} \ , \tag{3.7}$$

where the identification of parameters is as follows

Gauge theory	q_τ	q_σ	t	u	y	ξ
EVA	q	q'	t	u	y	$Q - \gamma_0 - \sqrt{\beta} r$

. (3.8)

In particular, the gauge theory integration measure given by the adjoint and vector multiplets and the elliptic Vandermonde-like determinant (2.24) coming from "OPE" factor of the screening currents are identified. As we mentioned around (2.23), the actual measures may differ by q-constants, but they give the same result (up to global proportionality factors) when integrating along paths enclosing the poles specified in the following (3.14).[4] It then follows that (3.6) can be interpreted as the Dotsenko-Fateev representation of the chiral blocks of the EVA, as summarized in (3.7).

We now turn to discussing the integration contour ($\mathcal{C}$), which was left unspecified so far, and the evaluation of the block integral/correlator (3.6) by residues. We assume $|q_\tau| < 1$, $|q_\sigma| < 1$, $|t| < 1$ and that they are generic, namely $q_\tau^m \neq q_\sigma^n \neq t^k$ for any $m, n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$. We begin by studying the pole distribution of the block integrand in (3.6), focusing on the u-independent ones. These are associated to anti-fundamental matter in our conventions, and they determine $\mathcal{C}$ as we are going to explain. The poles coming from the numerator of the matter contribution are located at

$$z_i = y_a q_\tau^n q_\sigma^k \ , \quad n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \ , \tag{3.9}$$

Further poles come from the numerator of the integration measure (adjoint) and are determined by the condition

$$\frac{z_i}{z_j} = t q_\tau^n q_\sigma^k \ , \quad n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \ . \tag{3.10}$$

[3] The 6d origin of t will be clarified in section 4.

[4] See also an analogous discussion in appendix C of [22].

Importantly, there are zeros coming from the denominator of the integration measure (vector) whenever

$$\frac{z_i}{z_j} = q_\tau^n q_\sigma^k \ , \quad n,k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \ . \tag{3.11}$$

The contour $\mathcal{C}$ is chosen to encircle only the poles of the form

$$z_i = y_a t^k q_\tau^n \ , \quad n,k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \ . \tag{3.12}$$

As explained in detail in [56], this prescription arises by interpreting the contributions from poles containing powers of q_σ as unphysical replicas because of the quasi-periodicity on $\mathbb{T}^2$. Moreover, this prescription works in rank 1 examples [56], and we adopt it also in the present case. In order to completely specify the integration path, we split the integration variables into N groups of r_a, namely

$$r = \sum_{a=1}^{N} r_a \ , \quad \{z_i\} = \{z_{(a,\ell)} \mid a = 1,\dots,N,\ \ell = 1,\dots,r_a\} \ , \tag{3.13}$$

and we assign a contour to each group. Within each group, the sequence $z_{(a,\ell)} = y_a q_\tau^n$ connects the points $z_{(a,\ell)} = 0$ and $z_{(a,\ell)} = y_a$, and the contour is taken to go around that path. In order to understand what are the contributing poles, let us focus on the a^{th} group. First of all, we have a permutation symmetry amongst the $z_{(a,\ell)}$ which we fix by starting to integrate from the last variable of the group all the way to the first one, namely we perform the integrations in the order $z_{(a,r_a)}, z_{(a,r_a-1)}, \dots, z_{(a,1)}$. For the last variable the contributing poles are just those from the anti-fundamentals, namely $z_{(a,r_a)} = y_a q_\tau^n$. Then we perform the integration over the next-to-last variable $z_{(a,r_a-1)}$. The possible contributing poles arise from the anti-fundamentals at $z_{(a,r_a-1)} = y_a q_\tau^k$, or from the the adjoint at $z_{(a,r_a-1)} = y_a t q_\tau^{n+k}$. The first family does not contribute because the condition $\frac{z_{(a,r_a-1)}}{z_{(a,r_a)}} = q_\tau^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is satisfied and hence the vector contributes with a zero. Similarly, for the variable $z_{(a,r_a-2)}$ we find the contributing poles are those at $z_{(a,r_a-2)} = y_a t^2 q_\tau^{n+k+j}$, and so on. The same reasoning applies to each group, and we can eventually realize that the relevant poles are labeled by an N-tuple of Young tableaux Y^a with at most r_a rows of length Y_ℓ^a

$$z_{(a,\ell)} = z_{Y_\ell^a} = y_a t^{r_a-\ell} q_\tau^{Y_\ell^a} \ , \quad Y_\ell^a \geq Y_{\ell+1}^a \ . \tag{3.14}$$

The sum of the residues of (3.6) over these poles can be evaluated by using the properties in (A.12), and we can finally write

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}^{4\text{d}} = \text{Res}_{z_{\vec{\emptyset}}} \frac{\Upsilon^{4\text{d}}(z)}{z} \times \sum_{\vec{Y}} \frac{\Upsilon^{4\text{d}}(z)|_{z_{\vec{Y}}}}{\Upsilon^{4\text{d}}(z)|_{z_{\vec{\emptyset}}}} \ . \tag{3.15}$$

The summands of the series reads as

$$\frac{\Upsilon^{4\text{d}}(z)|_{z_{\vec{Y}}}}{\Upsilon^{4\text{d}}(z)|_{z_{\vec{\emptyset}}}} = q_\tau^{\xi|\vec{Y}|} \prod_{(a,\ell)\neq(b,k)} \frac{\Theta(t y_a y_b^{-1} t^{r_a-r_b-\ell+k}; q_\sigma, q_\tau)_{Y_\ell^a - Y_k^b}}{\Theta(y_a y_b^{-1} t^{r_a-r_b-\ell+k}; q_\sigma, q_\tau)_{Y_\ell^a - Y_k^b}} \prod_{a,b,\ell} \frac{\Theta(y_b y_a^{-1} t^{-r_a+\ell}; q_\sigma, q_\tau)_{-Y_\ell^a}}{\Theta(u_b y_b y_a^{-1} t^{-r_a+\ell}; q_\sigma, q_\tau)_{-Y_\ell^a}} \ , \tag{3.16}$$

where $|\vec{Y}| = \sum_{a=1}^{N}\sum_{\ell=1}^{r_a} Y_\ell^a$ and the Θ-factorial is defined in (A.14). We see that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}}^{4\mathrm{d}}$ is similar to a multiple elliptic hypergeometric series studied for example in [85].

In [56] we have shown that the Abelian blocks ($r = 1$) are annihilated by a difference operator which is an elliptic deformation (in the momentum) of the q-hypergeometric operator. We believe that there should exist a similar operator annihilating the more general block given in (3.15), and it would be interesting to determine it.

The 4d holomorphic block (3.15) has the form of an elliptic deformation of a vortex partition function [86], similar to those appearing in [56, 83, 87, 88]. Given the relation between vortex and instanton counting [86, 89–91] it is natural to ask whether (3.15) can be seen as the vortex partition function of a 1/2 BPS codimension 2 theory in $\mathbb{R}^4_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} \times \mathbb{T}^2$. Granted the comment in the previous paragraph, this possibility is also strongly supported by the very well-known fact that partition functions of defect theories obey difference equations [40, 90–93]. Indeed, in the next section we will verify that the elliptic vortex sum in (3.15) equals the $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{T}^2$ Nekrasov instanton partition function [57–59] of the $U(N)$ theory with N fundamental and anti-fundamental flavors for particular values of the Coulomb branch parameters of the 6d theory.

4 6d Nekrasov partition function

In this section we compute the instanton partition function on $\mathbb{R}^4_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} \times \mathbb{T}^2$, which can be defined as the generating function of elliptic genera [94, 95] of the instanton moduli space. Our goal is to show that the elliptic Nekrasov instanton partition function reduces to the elliptic vortex partition function (3.15) at specific points in the Coulomb branch. In fact, in analogy with the lower dimensional cases, these should correspond to the points where vortex solutions exist and where the low energy dynamics can be described by a 1/2 BPS codimension 2 theory on $\mathbb{R}^2_\epsilon \times \mathbb{T}^2$.[5]

There are diverse methods [57] to compute the supersymmetric partition function we are interested in, such as instanton calculus [4, 5] or topological string methods [97, 98]. We adopt the second perspective. We start by considering an M-theory setup provided by M parallel M5-branes wrapped on a torus and probing a transverse A_{N-1} singularity as in [58, 59]

	$\mathbb{T}^2$	$\mathbb{R}^4_\parallel$	$\mathbb{R}_\perp$	A_{N-1}
M M5	• •	• • • •		

. (4.1)

Our aim is then to compute the M-theory partition function on such background. The result we are looking for can be found in [58], here we briefly review the points of that

[5] A relation between $\mathcal{N}$=2 theories on $S^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2$ and M-strings (described below) is discussed in [96].

construction which are more relevant for our analysis and adapt to our notation. For coincident M5-branes the configuration leads to a 6d $(1,0)$ superconformal theory. However, one can also consider a deformation away from the superconformal fixed point by separating the M5-branes along a transverse direction, and suspending M2-branes between consecutive M5-branes. The ends of the M2-branes appear as strings from the M5-brane viewpoint, and hence were called M_A-strings in [58] (the $N>1$ generalization of M-strings [99]). The M-theory partition function can be obtained by computing the BPS degeneracies of the states arising from the M2-branes wrapping $\mathbb{T}^2$. Through a chain of dualities, the M-theory background has a dual description in type IIB string theory as the (p,q)-web [100, 101]

	S^1	$S^1_{(p,q)}$	$\mathbb{R}^4_{\parallel}$	$\mathbb{R}_{(p,q)}$	$\mathbb{R}^3_{\perp}$
M NS5	•	•	• • • •		
N D5	•		• • • •	•	

, (4.2)

where the sub-index denotes the (p,q)-cylinder. The (p,q)-web is dual to an elliptically fibered toric Calabi-Yau 3-fold [102, 103]. This geometry can be used to compute IIA topological string amplitudes by using the refined topological vertex [98, 104, 105]. The basic building block of the geometry is given by the periodic strip depicted in figure 2 (left), where we have explicitly shown the external Young diagrams and the various Kähler parameters. Because of the periodic identification, all the variables of the strip are subject to the equivalence relation $n \sim n+N$ for any sub-index of Kähler parameters or Young diagrams. Notice that there is an additional Kähler parameter ($Q_{f,0} \sim Q_{f,N}$) and internal Young diagram ($\nu_1 \sim \nu_{N+1}$) with respect to the uncompactified strip. By choosing the horizontal direction as the preferred one, the sums over the internal diagrams can be performed through the refined version of the method of [106], the main difference being the appearance of an extra infinite product taking into account the multi-covering contributions of the basic holomorphic curves due to the periodic identification. The resulting (normalized) periodic strip amplitude can be written as (E.15)

$$\frac{\mathcal{K}^{\vec{\alpha}}_{\vec{\beta}}(Q_m,Q_f;q,t)}{\mathcal{K}^{\vec{\varnothing}}_{\vec{\varnothing}}(Q_m,Q_f;q,t)} = \prod_{a=1}^{N} \frac{q^{\frac{\|\alpha_a\|^2}{2}} t^{\frac{\|\beta_a^\vee\|^2}{2}} \tilde{Z}_{\alpha_a}(t,q)\tilde{Z}_{\beta_a^\vee}(q,t)}{\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} N_{\beta_a\beta_a}(pq'^{k+1};q,t)N_{\alpha_a\alpha_a}(q'^{k+1};q,t)} \times$$
$$\times \frac{\prod_{a,b=1}^{N} N_{\alpha_a\beta_b}(p^{\frac{1}{2}}Q_{ab}Q_{m,b};q,t|q')}{\prod_{1\le a\neq b\le N}\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} N_{\beta_a\beta_b}(pq'^{k}Q_{ab}Q_{m,a}^{-1}Q_{m,b};q,t)N_{\alpha_a\alpha_b}(q'^{k}Q_{ab};q,t)} , \quad (4.3)$$

with

$$p = qt^{-1} , \quad q' = \prod_{k=1}^{N} Q_{m,k}Q_{f,k} , \quad Q_{ab} = \begin{cases} \prod_{k=a}^{b-1} Q_{m,k}Q_{f,k} , & a<b \\ 1 , & a=b \\ q'Q_{ba}^{-1} , & a>b \end{cases} . \quad (4.4)$$



Figure 2. Left: The (dual) toric diagram of the periodic strip. Right: Gluing two strips.

The function $N_{\mu\nu}(Q;q,t|q')$ defined in (E.14) is the elliptic version of the K-theoretic Nekrasov function $N_{\mu\nu}(Q;q,t)$ (E.10). The parameters of the Ω-background are identified as $q = \mathrm{e}^{2\pi\mathrm{i}\epsilon_1}$, $t = \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi\mathrm{i}\epsilon_2}$, $q' = \mathrm{e}^{2\pi\mathrm{i}\sigma}$, where σ is the elliptic modulus. The 6d theory we are interested in can be engineered by gluing two periodic strips ($M = 2$) as in figure 2 (right) with the constraint

$$Q_{m,a}Q_{f,a} = \bar{Q}_{m,a+1}\bar{Q}_{f,a} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{Q}_{ab} = Q_{ab}\bar{Q}_{m,a}\bar{Q}_{m,b}^{-1} \ . \tag{4.5}$$

Setting the external legs to empty Young tableaux, we can compute the $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{T}^2$ Nekrasov instanton partition function for the $U(N)$ theory with N fundamental and anti-fundamental hypers [57]. The details of the gluing are reported in appendix E, the final result is

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{inst}}^{\mathbb{R}^4\times\mathbb{T}^2} = \sum_{\vec{Y}} \tilde{Q}_B^{|\vec{Y}|} \prod_{a,b=1}^{N} \frac{N_{\varnothing Y^b}(A_b^{-1}\bar{Q}_a;q,t|q')N_{Y^a\varnothing}(A_aQ_b;q,t|q')}{N_{Y^aY^b}(A_aA_b^{-1};q,t|q')} \ , \tag{4.6}$$

where we set

$$Q_{ab} = \begin{cases} A_aA_b^{-1} \ , & a \le b \\ q'A_aA_b^{-1} \ , & a > b \end{cases} \ , \quad Q_{m,a} = A_aQ_ap^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ , \quad \bar{Q}_{m,a} = A_a^{-1}\bar{Q}_ap^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ . \tag{4.7}$$

Due to $N_{Y^aY^b}(Q;q,t|0) = N_{Y^aY^b}(Q;q,t)$, in the decompactification limit $q' \to 0$ we can recognize in the expression above the $\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1$ Nekrasov instanton partition function upon identifying

$$A_b = \mathrm{e}^{Ra_b} \ , \quad Q_b = \mathrm{e}^{Rm_b} \ , \quad \bar{Q}_b = \mathrm{e}^{R\bar{m}_b} \ , \quad \tilde{Q}_B = \Lambda_{\text{inst}}^{5\text{d}} \ , \tag{4.8}$$

where R is the scale of the surviving circle, while a_b, m_b, $\bar{m}_b$, $\Lambda^{5\mathrm{d}}_{\mathrm{inst}}$ are respectively the Coulomb branch parameters, the masses of fundamental and anti-fundamental hypers and the 5d instanton parameter.

We now consider a particular specialization of the parameters A_a. If we tune

$$A_a Q_a = t^{r_a} \ , \quad r_a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \ , \quad a = 1, \dots, N \ , \tag{4.9}$$

the numerator of (4.6) yields zero at the box $(r_a+1, 1) \in Y^a$, and hence the sum over the Young tableaux is truncated to tableaux with at most r_a rows. When Y^a has at most r_a rows we have

$$N_{Y^a\varnothing}(Q; q, t|q') = \prod_{i=1}^{r_a} \prod_{j=1}^{Y^a_i} \Theta(Q q^{j-1} t^{1-i}; q') = \prod_{i=1}^{r_a} \frac{\Gamma(Q q^{Y^a_i} t^{1-i}; q, q')}{\Gamma(Q t^{1-i}; q, q')} \ , \tag{4.10}$$

where we used $\{\lambda_i - j\} = \{j-1\}$ at fixed i and the definition of the Θ-factorial (A.14). Similarly

$$N_{\varnothing Y^a}(Q; q, t|q') = \prod_{i=1}^{r_a} \prod_{j=1}^{Y^a_i} \Theta(Q q^{-j} t^{i}; q') = \prod_{i=1}^{r_a} \frac{\Gamma(q q' Q^{-1} q^{Y^a_i} t^{-i}; q, q')}{\Gamma(q q' Q^{-1} t^{-i}; q, q')} \ . \tag{4.11}$$

When the diagrams are both non-empty, we can use the identity (for $|q| < 1$) [107]

$$N_{\mu\nu}(Q; q, t) = \prod_{i,j \geq 1} \frac{(Q q^{\mu_i - \nu_j} t^{j-i+1}; q)_\infty}{(Q t^{j-i+1}; q)_\infty} \frac{(Q t^{j-i}; q)_\infty}{(Q q^{\mu_i - \nu_j} t^{j-i}; q)_\infty} \ , \tag{4.12}$$

and the definitions (A.9), (E.14) to write

$$N_{\mu\nu}(Q; q, t|q') = \prod_{i,j \geq 1} \frac{\Gamma(Q t^{j-i+1}; q, q')}{\Gamma(Q q^{\mu_i - \nu_j} t^{j-i+1}; q, q')} \frac{\Gamma(Q q^{\mu_i - \nu_j} t^{j-i}; q, q')}{\Gamma(Q t^{j-i}; q, q')} \ . \tag{4.13}$$

Therefore, when Y^a and Y^b have at most r_a and r_b rows respectively we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{Y^a Y^b}(Q; q, t|q') = \prod_{i=1}^{r_a} \prod_{j=1}^{r_b} \frac{\Gamma(Q t^{j-i+1}; q, q')}{\Gamma(Q q^{Y^a_i - Y^b_j} t^{j-i+1}; q, q')} \frac{\Gamma(Q q^{Y^a_i - Y^b_j} t^{j-i}; q, q')}{\Gamma(Q t^{j-i}; q, q')} \times \\ \times N_{Y^a\varnothing}(Q t^{r_b}; q, t|q') N_{\varnothing Y^b}(Q t^{-r_a}; q, t|q') \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

where we have divided the infinite products in four regions, namely $(i,j) \in [1, r_a] \times [1, r_b]$, $(i,j) \in [1, r_a] \times [r_b + 1, +\infty]$, $(i,j) \in [r_a + 1, +\infty] \times [1, r_b]$, $(i,j) \in [r_a + 1, +\infty] \times [r_b + 1, +\infty]$. The first region contributes with the first factor, the second and the third regions yield the elliptic Nekrasov functions with an empty tableaux, while the fourth region does not contribute. Finally, the evaluation of (E.16) at $A_a = Q_a^{-1} t^{r_a}$ yields

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{T}^2}_{\mathrm{inst}} = \sum_{\vec{Y}} \tilde{Q}_B^{|\vec{Y}|} \prod_{a,b=1}^{N} \frac{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{Y^a Y^b}}{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\varnothing\varnothing}} \prod_{a=1}^{N} \frac{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{f}}_{Y^a} \mathcal{Z}^{\bar{\mathrm{f}}}_{Y^a}}{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{f}}_{\varnothing} \mathcal{Z}^{\bar{\mathrm{f}}}_{\varnothing}} \ , \tag{4.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}^{\text{ad}}_{Y^a Y^b} &= \prod_{i=1}^{r_a}\prod_{j=1}^{r_b}\frac{\Gamma(tQ_bQ_a^{-1}t^{r_a-r_b+j-i}q^{Y_i^a-Y_j^b};q,q')}{\Gamma(Q_bQ_a^{-1}t^{r_a-r_b+j-i}q^{Y_i^a-Y_j^b};q,q')}\ ,\\ \mathcal{Z}^{\text{f}}_{Y^a}\mathcal{Z}^{\bar{\text{f}}}_{Y^a} &= \prod_{b=1}^{N}\prod_{i=1}^{r_a}\frac{\Gamma(Q_b^{-1}Q_at^{-r_a+i}q^{-Y_i^a};q,q')}{\Gamma(\bar{Q}_bQ_at^{-r_a+i}q^{-Y_i^a};q,q')}\ .\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

We can now easily identify (4.15) with the elliptic vortex sum in (3.15) provided the following identifications hold[6]

$\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{T}^2/\text{EVA}$	q_τ	q_σ	t	u_a	y_a	q_τ^ξ
$\mathbb{R}^4\times\mathbb{T}^2$	q	q'	t	$Q_a\bar{Q}_a$	Q_a^{-1}	$\tilde{Q}_B$

. (4.17)

Moreover, the specialization of the Coulomb branch parameters/internal momenta encodes the rank r of the 4d gauge group/number of screening currents, and it also determines the choice of the 4d block integral/EVA correlator contour through the breaking pattern $r=\sum_{a=1}^N r_a$.

5 Discussion and outlook

In the special case of the 4-point function ($N=2$) with a single screening current ($r=1$), corresponding to the SQED theory with $N=2$ fundamentals and anti-fundamentals, we have shown in [56] that the 4d holomorphic block (proportional to the elliptic series ${}_2E_1$) satisfies a q-difference equation representing an elliptic deformation of the equation satisfied by the ${}_2\phi_1$ q-hypergeometric. In q-Virasoro theories this corresponds to the fact that the 4-point correlator has a degenerate insertion at level 2, analogously to the very well-known case of (undeformed) Virasoro theories. It is tempting to make an analogous statement for elliptic Virasoro theories, interpreting the elliptic q-difference equation as a decoupling equation for the insertion of a degenerate operator. This in certainly true from the gauge theory viewpoint, as we have shown that the Abelian block arises upon the specialization (4.9) $a_1=-m_1-\epsilon_2$, $a_2=-m_2$ of the elliptic Nekrasov instanton partition function, corresponding in the AGT dictionary to the insertion of a level 2 degenerate external momentum [14]. In order to fully understand this aspect a study of the representation theory of the EVA is required.

The results of this work summarized in the "triality" (4.17) and the above observations strongly suggest that, in the spirit of the AGT correspondence, generic chiral

[6] This relation was anticipated in [108], where a review of factorization of supersymmetric partition functions in various dimensions and for diverse compact spaces, 4d and 5d AGT can also be found.

blocks of the EVA are described by elliptic Nekrasov instanton partition functions. We hope that this 6d AGT relation and the EVA can be a useful tool for studying certain 6d supersymmetric theories and their defects. It would also be interesting to explore the "triality" from the perspective of [109–111].

As we mentioned in the main text, our construction of the EVA can be easily generalized to define an elliptic deformation of the W_M algebra. We expect this extended algebra to be important for studying 4d quiver gauge theories and 6d theories engineered by gluing an arbitrary number of periodic strips. It would be also very interesting to develop a stronger version of the 6d AGT correspondence through the identification of compact space partition functions with non-chiral correlators in QFTs with elliptic Virasoro symmetry, along the lines of [26, 27] for the 5d case. We leave this topics for future research.

The EVA may be also interesting from a purely mathematical viewpoint and applications to elliptic integrable systems. The DVA was introduced to understand the symmetry algebra behind Macdonald polynomials, in analogy with the relation between Jack polynomials and singular vectors of the Virasoro algebra. It was eventually understood [112] that the DVA and Macdonald polynomials are naturally related to a more elementary algebra, the trigonometric Ding-Iohara algebra [113]. Elliptic Macdonald functions can be defined as eigenfunctions of the elliptic Macdonald operator. However, their study is much more complicated than in the trigonometric case (see [93, 114] for developments from a gauge theory viewpoint). In [115] an elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra was introduced and its connection to elliptic Macdonald functions established (see also [113]). In appendix D we show that the EVA can be realized on a tensor representation of the elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra. It is then natural to ask whether elliptic Macdonald functions can be studied by means of the EVA, perhaps through their correspondence with some kind of singular vectors. This perspective may eventually led to a neat integral representation of elliptic Macdonald functions, as in [20, 116] for the trigonometric case.

Finally, in [117] the important role of the trigonometric Ding-Iohara algebra for the 5d AGT relation was extensively discussed. It was conjectured (and proved in the Abelian case) that topological string amplitudes on the strip, the basic building block for the 5d Nekrasov instanton partition function, can be computed as matrix elements of a vertex operator intertwining representations of the trigonometric Ding-Iohara algebra. Given the relation amongst the 6d Nekrasov instanton partition function, the EVA and the elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra found in this work, it would be interesting to understand whether periodic strip amplitudes have a similar interpretation.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank S. Pasquetti for many illuminating discussions and comments about the draft of this paper. I also thank A. Torrielli, M. Zabzine, J. Minahan, and S. Cremonesi for helpful discussions. This research was partially supported by the EPSRC PhD studentship EP/K503186/1, and in part by Vetenskapsrådet under grant #2014- 5517 and by the grant Geometry and Physics from the Knut and Alice Wallenberg foundation.

A Elliptic functions

In this appendix we collect useful definitions and properties of some elliptic functions used in the main text. We start by defining the (infinite) q-factorial

$$(x;q)_\infty = \prod_{k\geq 0}(1-q^k x) \ , \quad |q|<1 \ . \tag{A.1}$$

Using the representation

$$(x;q)_\infty = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{Li}_2(x;q)} \ , \quad \mathrm{Li}_2(x;q) = \sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{x^k}{k(1-q^k)} \ , \tag{A.2}$$

it can be extended to the domain $|q|>1$ by means of

$$(qx;q)_\infty \to \frac{1}{(x;q^{-1})_\infty} \ . \tag{A.3}$$

The short Jacobi Theta function is defined by

$$\Theta(x;q) = (x;q)_\infty (qx^{-1};q)_\infty \ . \tag{A.4}$$

Useful properties are

$$\frac{\Theta(q^m x;q)}{\Theta(x;q)} = (-xq^{(m-1)/2})^{-m}, \quad \frac{\Theta(q^{-m}x;q)}{\Theta(x;q)} = (-x^{-1}q^{(m+1)/2})^{-m} \ . \tag{A.5}$$

The double (infinite) q-factorial is defined by

$$(x;p,q)_\infty = \prod_{k\geq 0}(1-p^j q^k x) \ , \quad |p|,|q|<1 \ . \tag{A.6}$$

Using the representation

$$(x;p,q)_\infty = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{Li}_3(x;p,q)} \ , \quad \mathrm{Li}_3(x;p,q) = \sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{x^k}{k(1-p^k)(1-q^k)} \ , \tag{A.7}$$

it can be extended to other domains by means of

$$(qx;p,q)_\infty \to \frac{1}{(x;p,q^{-1})_\infty} \ . \tag{A.8}$$

The elliptic Gamma function is defined by

$$\Gamma(x;p,q) = \frac{(pqx^{-1};p,q)_\infty}{(x;p,q)_\infty} = \mathrm{e}^{\sum_{k\neq 0}\frac{x^k}{k(1-p^k)(1-q^k)}} \ . \tag{A.9}$$

It has has zeros and poles at

$$\text{zeros}: \ x = p^{m+1}q^{n+1} \ , \quad \text{poles}: \ x = p^{-m}q^{-n} \ , \quad m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \ . \tag{A.10}$$

Useful properties of the elliptic Gamma function are $(m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$

$$\text{Reflection}: \quad \Gamma(x;p,q)\Gamma(pqx^{-1};p,q) = 1 \ , \tag{A.11}$$

$$\text{Shift}: \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(p^m q^n x)}{\Gamma(x)} &= (-xp^{(m-1)/2}q^{(n-1)/2})^{-mn}\Theta(x;p,q)_n\Theta(x;q,p)_m \ , \\ \frac{\Gamma(p^m q^{-n} x)}{\Gamma(x)} &= (-xp^{(m-1)/2}q^{-(n+1)/2})^{mn}\frac{\Theta(x;q,p)_m}{\Theta(pqx^{-1};p,q)_n} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

$$\text{Residues}: \quad \mathrm{Res}_{x=yp^m q^n}\frac{\Gamma(yx^{-1})}{x} = \mathrm{Res}\Gamma(1)\ \frac{(-pq\ q^{(n-1)/2}p^{(m-1)/2})^{mn}}{\Theta(pq;p,q)_n\Theta(pq;q,p)_m} \ , \tag{A.13}$$

where we introduced the Θ-factorial

$$\Theta(x;p,q)_n = \frac{\Gamma(q^n x;p,q)}{\Gamma(x;p,q)} = \begin{cases} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\Theta(xq^k;p) & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ \prod_{k=0}^{|n|-1}\Theta(q^{-1}xq^{-k};p)^{-1} & \text{if } n < 0 \end{cases} \ , \tag{A.14}$$

$$\Theta(x;p,q)_{-n} = \Theta(q^{-n}x;p,q)_n^{-1} \ . \tag{A.15}$$

Notice that in the limit $p \to 0$ the Θ-factorial reduces to the q-factorial

$$\Theta(x;0,q)_n = (x;q)_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(1-q^k x) \ , \tag{A.16}$$

because

$$\Theta(x;0) = 1 - x \ . \tag{A.17}$$

B Free boson tools

The master formula when manipulating bosonic oscillators is the BCH formula. Given two operators A, B such that $[\mathsf{A},\mathsf{B}] \propto \mathbb{1}$, we have

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\mathsf{A}}\mathsf{B}\mathrm{e}^{\mathsf{A}} = \mathsf{B} + [\mathsf{B},\mathsf{A}] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{e}^{\mathsf{B}}\mathrm{e}^{\mathsf{A}} = \mathrm{e}^{\mathsf{A}}\mathrm{e}^{\mathsf{B}}\mathrm{e}^{[\mathsf{B},\mathsf{A}]} \ . \tag{B.1}$$

Let su define

$$[\mathsf{a}_m, \mathsf{a}_n] \propto \delta_{m+n,0} \mathbb{1} \ , \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \{0\} \ , \tag{B.2}$$

and

$$\mathsf{V}_i = :\mathrm{e}^{\mathsf{v}_i}: \ , \quad \mathsf{v}_i = \sum_{n \neq 0} \mathsf{v}_{i,n} \mathsf{a}_n \ , \tag{B.3}$$

where the normal ordering $: \ :$ means all the positive modes are on the right of all the negative modes. If we denote by $[\ ]_\pm$ the positive and negative mode parts, we can therefore write

$$\mathsf{V}_i = [\mathsf{V}_i]_- [\mathsf{V}_i]_+ \ , \quad [\mathsf{V}_i]_\pm = \mathrm{e}^{[\mathsf{v}_i]_\pm} \ . \tag{B.4}$$

In the main text we have to compute correlators containing expressions such as

$$\prod_{i=1}^{M} \mathsf{V}_i = [\mathsf{V}_1]_-[\mathsf{V}_1]_+[\mathsf{V}_2]_-[\mathsf{V}_2]_+ \cdots [\mathsf{V}_M]_-[\mathsf{V}_M]_+ \ . \tag{B.5}$$

To this end, we bring the all the $[\mathsf{V}_i]_+$'s to the right of all the $[\mathsf{V}_i]_-$'s. The first, $[\mathsf{V}_1]_+$, has to cross all the $[\mathsf{V}_i]_-$ with $i = 2, \dots, N$, while it commutes with all the $[\mathsf{V}_i]_+$'s. In the process it produces $\mathrm{e}^{[[\mathsf{v}_1]_+,[\mathsf{v}_2]_-]} \dots \mathrm{e}^{[[\mathsf{v}_1]_+,[\mathsf{v}_M]_-]}$. The second, $[V_2]_+$, has to cross all the $[\mathsf{V}_i]_-$ with $i = 3, \dots, N$, and so on. Eventually, we get a triangular matrix $[[\mathsf{v}_i]_+, [\mathsf{v}_j]_-]$, $i < j$ so that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{M} \mathsf{V}_i = :\prod_{i=1}^{M} \mathsf{V}_i: \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq M} \mathrm{e}^{[[\mathsf{v}_i]_+,[\mathsf{v}_j]_-]} \ . \tag{B.6}$$

If an operator has also a dependence on zero modes, we denote this part by $[\ ]_0$.

C Screening current of the EVA

In this appendix we report the explicit verification of (2.17) with the definitions (2.10), (2.18). We set

$$\Lambda_\sigma(z) = :\mathrm{e}^{\lambda_\sigma(z)}: [\Lambda_\sigma(z)]_0 \ , \quad \mathsf{S}(w) = :\mathrm{e}^{\mathsf{s}(w)}: [\mathsf{S}(w)]_0 \ , \tag{C.1}$$

where $[\ ]_{+,-,0}$ denotes the positive, negative or zero mode part. Then we have

$$[\Lambda_\sigma(z), \mathsf{S}(w)] = :\Lambda_\sigma(z)\mathsf{S}(w): \left(t^\sigma \mathrm{e}^{[[\lambda_\sigma(z)]_+,[\mathsf{s}(w)]_-]} - \mathrm{e}^{[[\mathsf{s}(w)]_+,[\lambda_\sigma(z)]_-]}\right) \ . \tag{C.2}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
[[\lambda_\sigma(z)]_+, [\mathsf{s}(w)]_-] &= \sigma \sum_{n>0} \frac{(p^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} w z^{-1})^n (t^{\frac{n}{2}} - t^{-\frac{n}{2}})}{n(1-q'^n)} + \sigma \sum_{n>0} \frac{(q' p^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} z w^{-1})^n (t^{-\frac{n}{2}} - t^{\frac{n}{2}})}{n(1-q'^n)} \ , \\
[[\mathsf{s}(w)]_+, [\lambda_\sigma(z)]_-] &= -\sigma \sum_{n>0} \frac{(p^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} z w^{-1})^n (t^{\frac{n}{2}} - t^{-\frac{n}{2}})}{n(1-q'^n)} - \sigma \sum_{n>0} \frac{(q' p^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} w z^{-1})^n (t^{-\frac{n}{2}} - t^{\frac{n}{2}})}{n(1-q'^n)} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.3}$$

we get

$$[\Lambda_\sigma(z), \mathsf{S}(w)] = :\Lambda_\sigma(z)\mathsf{S}(w): F_\sigma(p^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} t^{\frac{1}{2}} w z^{-1}) \ , \tag{C.4}$$

where

$$F_\sigma(x) = t^\sigma \frac{\Theta(t^{-1}x;q')^\sigma}{\Theta(x;q')^\sigma} - \frac{\Theta(tx^{-1};q')^\sigma}{\Theta(x^{-1};q')^\sigma} \ . \tag{C.5}$$

This function should be interpreted as an hyperfunction (otherwise it is identically zero). We have

$$F_+(x) = t\frac{\Theta(t^{-1}x;q')}{(q';q')_\infty^2}\delta(x) \ , \quad F_-(x) = t^{-1}\frac{\Theta(x;q')}{(q';q')_\infty^2}\delta(xt^{-1}) \ , \tag{C.6}$$

where we used the representation

$$\delta(x) = \sum_n x^n = \frac{(q';q')_\infty^2}{\Theta(x;q')} + \frac{x^{-1}(q';q')_\infty^2}{\Theta(x^{-1};q')} \ . \tag{C.7}$$

We can therefore write

$$[\mathsf{T}(z), \mathsf{S}(w)] = t^{\frac{1}{2}}\Theta(t^{-1};q') \sum_\sigma \ \sigma : \Lambda_\sigma(q^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}w)\mathsf{S}(w): t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\delta(q^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}wz^{-1}) \ . \tag{C.8}$$

It is now easy to verify that

$$: \Lambda_+(q^{\frac{1}{2}}w)\mathsf{S}(w): t^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\mathsf{O}(q^{\frac{1}{2}}w)}{w} \ , \quad : \Lambda_-(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}w)\mathsf{S}(w): t^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\mathsf{O}(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}w)}{w} \ , \tag{C.9}$$

where

$$\frac{\mathsf{O}(w)}{w} =: \mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{(q^{n/2}p^{-n}+q^{-n/2})}{(1+p^{-n})(q^{n/2}-q^{-n/2})(1-q'^{|n|})}(w^{-n}\alpha_n - w^n\beta_n)} : \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{\beta}\mathsf{Q}} w^{\sqrt{\beta}\mathsf{P}} \ , \tag{C.10}$$

so that

$$[\mathsf{T}(z), \mathsf{S}(w)] = (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})t^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\Theta(t^{-1};q')}{(q';q')_\infty^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}_q w}\delta(wz^{-1})\mathsf{O}(w) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}_q w}\mathsf{A}(w) \ . \tag{C.11}$$

D Relation with the elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra

The Ding-Iohara algebra [118] is an associative unital (functional) $\mathbb{C}$-algebra endowed with a coproduct Δ (in fact, a Hopf algebra) and defined by a matrix of analytic structure functions g_{ij} satisfying the property $g_{ij}(z) = g_{ji}(z^{-1})^{-1}$. It provides a generalization of the Drinfeld realization of quantum affine algebras, giving rise to standard examples for particular choices of the structure matrix.

By considering a single structure function of elliptic type

$$g(z) = \frac{\Theta(qz;q')\Theta(t^{-1}z;q')\Theta(p^{-1}z;q')}{\Theta(q^{-1}z;q')\Theta(tz;q')\Theta(pz;q')} \ , \tag{D.1}$$

the author of [115][7] introduced the elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra $\mathcal{U}(q,t,q')$ generated by the currents

$$x^{\pm}(z) = \sum_n x_n^{\pm} z^{-n} \ , \quad \psi^{\pm}(z) = \sum_n \psi_n^{\pm} z^{-n} \ , \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \ , \tag{D.2}$$

and the invertible central element $\gamma^{1/2}$, satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\psi^{\pm}(z), \psi^{\pm}(w)] = 0 \ , \\
&\psi^{+}(z)\psi^{-}(w) = \psi^{-}(w)\psi^{+}(z)\frac{g(\gamma w z^{-1})}{g(\gamma^{-1} w z^{-1})} \ , \\
&\psi^{\rho}(z)x^{\sigma}(w) = x^{\sigma}(w)\psi^{\rho}(z) g(\gamma^{\rho\sigma\frac{1}{2}} z w^{-1})^{\sigma} \ , \quad (\sigma,\rho) \in \{\pm,\pm\} \ , \\
&x^{\pm}(z)x^{\pm}(w) = x^{\pm}(w)x^{\pm}(z) g(z w^{-1})^{\pm 1} \ , \\
&[x^{+}(z), x^{-}(w)] = \frac{\Theta(q;q')\Theta(t^{-1};q')}{(q';q')_{\infty}^{2}\Theta(p;q')} \left(\delta\left(\gamma \frac{w}{z}\right) \psi^{+}(\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} w) - \delta\left(\gamma^{-1}\frac{w}{z}\right)\psi^{-}(\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} w) \right) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{D.3}$$

The coproduct reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}) &= \gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}} \ , \\
\Delta(\psi^{\pm}(z)) &= \psi^{\pm}(\gamma_{(2)}^{\pm\frac{1}{2}} z) \otimes \psi^{\pm}(\gamma_{(1)}^{\mp\frac{1}{2}} z) \ , \\
\Delta(x^{+}(z)) &= x^{+}(z) \otimes \mathbb{1} + \psi^{-}(\gamma_{(1)}^{\frac{1}{2}} z) \otimes x^{+}(\gamma_{(1)} z) \ , \\
\Delta(x^{-}(z)) &= \mathbb{1} \otimes x^{-}(z) + x^{-}(\gamma_{(2)} z) \otimes \psi^{+}(\gamma_{(2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} z) \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.4}$$

where $\gamma_{(1)}^{\pm\frac{1}{2}} = \gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \mathbb{1}$, $\gamma_{(2)}^{\pm\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbb{1} \otimes \gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$.

We now show that the level 1[8] free field representation ρ_c ($c \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$) of $\mathcal{U}(q,t,q')$

$$\rho_c(\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}) = p^{\mp\frac{1}{4}} \ , \quad \rho_c(\psi^{\pm}(z)) = \varphi^{\pm}(z) \ , \quad \rho_c(x^{+}(z)) = c\eta(z) \ , \quad \rho_c(x^{-}(z)) = c^{-1}\xi(z) \ , \tag{D.5}$$

[7] We thank S. Shakirov for pointing out to us this reference.

[8] When $\rho(\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}) = p^{\mp\frac{m}{4}}$, m is called the level of the representation.

where[9] $(m,n \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\varphi^{\pm}(z) = [\varphi(z)]_{\pm} \ , \\
&\varphi(z) = :\mathrm{e}^{\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{(1-t^n)(p^{-|n|/2}-p^{|n|/2})p^{-|n|/4}z^{-n}}{n(1-q'^{|n|})}\mathsf{a}_n}\mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{(1-t^{-n})(p^{-|n|/2}-p^{|n|/2})p^{|n|/4}q'^{|n|}z^{n}}{n(1-q'^{|n|})}\mathsf{b}_n}: \ , \\
&\eta(z) = :\mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{(1-t^n)z^{-n}}{n(1-q'^{|n|})}\mathsf{a}_n}\mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{(1-t^{-n})q'^{|n|}z^{n}}{n(1-q'^{|n|})}\mathsf{b}_n}: \ , \\
&\xi(z) = :\mathrm{e}^{\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{(1-t^n)p^{-|n|/2}z^{-n}}{n(1-q'^{|n|})}\mathsf{a}_n}\mathrm{e}^{\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{(1-t^{-n})p^{|n|/2}q'^{|n|}z^{n}}{n(1-q'^{|n|})}\mathsf{b}_n}: \ , \\
&[\mathsf{a}_m,\mathsf{a}_n] = m\frac{(1-q'^{|m|})(1-q^{|m|})}{1-t^{|m|}}\delta_{m+n,0} \ , \\
&[\mathsf{b}_m,\mathsf{b}_n] = m\frac{(1-q'^{|m|})(1-q^{|m|})}{(pq')^{|m|}(1-t^{|m|})}\delta_{m+n,0} \ , \\
&[\mathsf{a}_m,\mathsf{b}_n] = 0 \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.6}
$$

realizes the EVA algebra defined in (2.1) on the 2-fold tensor product Fock space. Our derivation follows the analogous construction of [112], where it is shown how to realize the q-W_M algebra of [20, 21] starting from the trigonometric Ding-Iohara algebra, the $q' \to 0$ limit of $\mathcal{U}(q,t,q')$. To begin with, we define the dressed current

$$
t(z) = \alpha^{-}(z)x^{+}(z)\alpha^{+}(z) \ ,
\tag{D.7}
$$

where the currents $\alpha^{\pm}(z)$ are defined by means of the modes of $\psi^{\pm}(z)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\psi^{\pm}(z) = \psi_0^{\pm}\mathrm{e}^{\pm\sum_{n>0}\Psi_{\pm n}\gamma^{n/2}z^{\mp n}}\mathrm{e}^{\mp\sum_{n>0}\Psi'_{\pm n}\gamma^{-n/2}z^{\pm n}} \ , \quad [\psi_0^{+},\psi_0^{-}] = 0 \ , \\
&[\Psi_m,\Psi_n] = \frac{(1-q^{-m})(1-t^m)(1-p^m)}{m(1-q'^{|m|})}(\gamma^m-\gamma^{-m})\gamma^{-|m|}\delta_{m+n,0} \ , \\
&[\Psi'_m,\Psi'_n] = \frac{q'^{|m|}(1-q^{-m})(1-t^m)(1-p^m)}{m(1-q'^{|m|})}(\gamma^m-\gamma^{-m})\gamma^{|m|}\delta_{m+n,0} \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.8}
$$

according to

$$
\alpha^{\pm}(z) = \mathrm{e}^{\pm\sum_{n>0}\frac{z^{\mp n}}{\gamma^n-\gamma^{-n}}\Psi_{\pm n}}\mathrm{e}^{\pm\sum_{n>0}\frac{z^{\pm n}}{\gamma^n-\gamma^{-n}}\Psi'_{\pm n}} \ .
\tag{D.9}
$$

Notice that the action of the coproduct on Ψ_n, Ψ'_n reads as

$$
\Delta(\Psi_n) = \Psi_n\otimes\gamma^{-|m|} + \mathbb{1}\otimes\Psi_n \ , \quad \Delta(\Psi'_n) = \Psi'_n\otimes\gamma^{|m|} + \mathbb{1}\otimes\Psi'_n \ ,
\tag{D.10}
$$

while the ρ_c representation is given by $\rho_c(\psi_0^{\pm}) = 1$ and

$$
\rho_c(\Psi_n) = \frac{(1-t^n)(p^{-|n|/2}-p^{|n|/2})}{|n|(1-q'^{|n|})}\mathsf{a}_n \ , \quad \rho_c(\Psi'_n) = \frac{q'^{|n|}(1-t^{-n})(p^{-|n|/2}-p^{|n|/2})}{|n|(1-q'^{|n|})}\mathsf{b}_n \ .
\tag{D.11}
$$

[9] See appendix B for notation.

We now consider the 2-fold tensor product representation

$$\rho_y^{(2)} = \rho_{y_1} \otimes \rho_{y_2} \circ \Delta \ , \tag{D.12}$$

and we want to compute

$$\rho_y^{(2)}(t(z)) = \sum_{i=1,2} y_i \Lambda_i(z) \ . \tag{D.13}$$

Notice that we are constructing a level 2 representation since $\rho_y^{(2)}(\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}) = p^{\mp\frac{1}{2}}$. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_y^{(2)}(\Psi_n) = \sum_{i=1,2} \rho_{y,i}^{(2)}(\Psi_n) \ , \quad \rho_{y,i}^{(2)}(\Psi_n) = -\frac{(1-t^n)(1-p^{-|n|})p^{\frac{|n|}{2}(2-i+1)}}{|n|(1-q'^{|n|})} \mathsf{a}_{n,i} \ , \\
&\rho_y^{(2)}(\Psi'_n) = \sum_{i=1,2} \rho_{y,i}^{(2)}(\Psi'_n) \ , \quad \rho_{y,i}^{(2)}(\Psi'_n) = \frac{q'^{|n|}(1-t^{-n})(1-p^{|n|})p^{-\frac{|n|}{2}(2-i+1)}}{|n|(1-q'^{|n|})} \mathsf{b}_{n,i} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.14}$$

where the sub-index i denotes that the operators are in the i^{th} tensor component. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_y^{(2)}(\alpha^+(z)) = \prod_{i=1,2} \alpha_i^+(z) \ , \quad \alpha_i^+(z) = \mathrm{e}^{\Sigma_{n>0} \frac{z^{-n}}{p^{-n}-p^n} \rho_{y,i}^{(2)}(\Psi_n)} \mathrm{e}^{\Sigma_{n>0} \frac{z^n}{p^{-n}-p^n} \rho_{y,i}^{(2)}(\Psi'_n)} \ , \\
&\rho_y^{(2)}(\alpha^-(z)) = \prod_{i=1,2} \alpha_i^-(z) \ , \quad \alpha_i^-(z) = \mathrm{e}^{-\Sigma_{n>0} \frac{z^n}{p^{-n}-p^n} \rho_{y,i}^{(2)}(\Psi_{-n})} \mathrm{e}^{-\Sigma_{n>0} \frac{z^{-n}}{p^{-n}-p^n} \rho_{y,i}^{(2)}(\Psi'_{-n})} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{D.15}$$

We also have

$$\rho_y^{(2)}(x^+(z)) = \sum_{i=1,2} y_i \tilde{\Lambda}_i(z) \ , \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_1(z) = \eta(z) \otimes \mathbb{1} \ , \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_2(z) = \varphi^-(p^{-1/4}z) \otimes \eta(p^{-1/2}z) \ , \tag{D.16}$$

and hence

$$\Lambda_i(z) = \prod_{j=1,2} \alpha_j^-(z) \tilde{\Lambda}_i(z) \prod_{k=1,2} \alpha_k^+(z) \ . \tag{D.17}$$

Notice $: \Lambda_1(z)\Lambda_2(p^{-1}z) : = \mathbb{1}$. Finally, we can verify that (2.11) is satisfied with $\Lambda_\pm(z) \to \Lambda_{1,2}(z)$

$$\Lambda_{1,2}(z)\Lambda_{1,2}(w) = : \Lambda_{1,2}(z)\Lambda_{1,2}(w) : f_{\pm,\pm}(wz^{-1})^{-1} \ , \tag{D.18}$$

which proves that the current $\rho_1^{(2)}(t(z))$ gives a representation of the EVA algebra. For completeness, let us work explicitly out the case $\Lambda_1(z)\Lambda_1(w)$, the other can be treated similarly. The first tensor component arises from

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_1^-(z)\eta(z)\alpha_1^+(z)\alpha_1^-(w)\eta(w)\alpha_1^+(w) = \\
&\qquad = \alpha_1^-(z)[\eta(z)]_-\Big([\eta(z)]_+\alpha_1^+(z)\alpha_1^-(w)[\eta(w)]_-\Big)[\eta(w)]_+\alpha_1^+(w) \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.19}$$

where we put in brackets the terms which need to be normal ordered. Let us focus on the part containing the a's. They give four contributions, which sum up to

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{m>0}\left(\frac{w}{z}\right)^m \frac{(1-q^m)(1-t^{-m})}{m(1-q'^m)(1-p^{2m})}\left(1-2p^m+p^{2m}+\frac{(1-p^{-m})^2p^{4m}}{(1-p^{2m})}\right)} \ , \tag{D.20}$$

and an analogous result comes from the part containing the b's but with the replacements $\frac{w}{z}\to\frac{q'z}{w}$, $(q,t,p)\to(q^{-1},t^{-1},p^{-1})$. The second tensor component arises from

$$\alpha_2^-(z)\alpha_2^+(z)\alpha_2^-(w)\alpha_2^+(w) = \alpha_2^-(z)\Big(\alpha_2^+(z)\alpha_2^-(w)\Big)\alpha_2^+(w) \ . \tag{D.21}$$

The part containing the a's yields

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{m>0}\left(\frac{w}{z}\right)^m \frac{(1-q^m)(1-t^{-m})}{m(1-q'^m)(1-p^{2m})}\frac{(1-p^{-m})^2p^{3m}}{(1-p^{2m})}} \ , \tag{D.22}$$

while the part containing the b's contribute the same but with the replacements $\frac{w}{z}\to\frac{q'z}{w}$, $(q,t,p)\to(q^{-1},t^{-1},p^{-1})$. Multiplying these contributions together, we finally find

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{m>0}\left(\frac{w}{z}\right)^m \frac{(1-q^m)(1-t^{-m})(1-p^m)}{m(1-q'^m)(1-p^{2m})}}\mathrm{e}^{\sum_{m>0}\left(\frac{p^2q'z}{w}\right)^m \frac{(1-q^{-m})(1-t^m)(1-p^{-m})}{m(1-q'^m)(1-p^{2m})}} = f_{+,+}(wz^{-1})^{-1} \ , \tag{D.23}$$

where $f_{+,+}(x)$ is defined in (2.12).

E The refined periodic strip

The amplitude of the periodic strip geometry depicted in figure 2 (left) reads as[10]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}^{\vec{\alpha}}_{\vec{\beta}}(Q_m,Q_f;q,t) &= \sum_{\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu}}\prod_{a=1}^N(-Q_{m,a})^{|\mu_a|}\prod_{b=1}^N(-Q_{f,b})^{|\nu_{b+1}|}\prod_{a=1}^N C_{\nu_a\mu_a\alpha_a}(t,q)\prod_{b=1}^N C_{\nu^\vee_{b+1}\mu^\vee_b\beta^\vee_b}(q,t) = \\ &= \prod_{a=1}^N q^{\frac{\|\alpha_a\|^2}{2}}t^{\frac{\|\beta^\vee_a\|^2}{2}}\tilde{Z}_{\alpha_a}(t,q)\tilde{Z}_{\beta^\vee_a}(q,t)\sum_{\vec{\mu},\vec{\nu},\vec{\eta},\vec{\sigma}}\prod_{a=1}^N(-Q_{m,a})^{|\mu_a|}\prod_{b=1}^N(-Q_{f,b})^{|\nu_{b+1}|}\times \\ &\times\prod_{a=1}^N s_{\nu^\vee_a/\eta_a}(p^{-\frac12}t^{-\rho}q^{-\alpha_a})s_{\mu_a/\eta_a}(q^{-\rho}t^{-\alpha^\vee_a})\prod_{b=1}^N s_{\nu_{b+1}/\sigma_b}(p^{\frac12}tq^{-\rho}t^{-\beta^\vee_b})s_{\mu^\vee_b/\sigma_b}(t^{-\rho}q^{-\beta_b}) \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{E.1}$$

where we introduced $p=qt^{-1}$, and

$$\tilde{Z}_\mu(t,q) = \prod_{(i,j)\in\mu}\frac{1}{1-q^{\mu_i-j}t^{\mu^\vee_j-i+1}} \ . \tag{E.2}$$

[10]The diagram $\mu^\vee$ is the transpose of μ, and we also have $|\mu|=\sum_i\mu_i$, $\|\mu\|^2=\sum_i\mu_i^2$. We refer to [107] for notations and useful combinatorics of Young diagrams.

We need to evaluate

$$G(x,y,Q_m,Q_f) = \sum_{\vec{X},\vec{Y}} \prod_{a=1}^{2N} (-\tilde{Q}_a)^{|X_a|} \prod_{a=1}^{2N} s_{X_a/Y_a}(x_a) s_{X_a^\vee/Y_{a+1}}(y_a) \ , \tag{E.3}$$

where we grouped the relevant variables according to

$$X_a = \begin{cases} \mu_{\frac{a+1}{2}} \ , \ a \text{ odd} \\ \nu_{\frac{a}{2}+1} \ , \ a \text{ even} \end{cases} \ , \quad Y_a = \begin{cases} \eta_{\frac{a+1}{2}} \ , \ a \text{ odd} \\ \sigma_{\frac{a}{2}} \ , \quad a \text{ even} \end{cases} \ , \tag{E.4}$$

$$\tilde{Q}_a = \begin{cases} Q_{m,\frac{a+1}{2}} \ , \ a \text{ odd} \\ Q_{f,\frac{a}{2}} \ , \quad a \text{ even} \end{cases} \ , \tag{E.5}$$

$$(x_a,y_a) = \begin{cases} (q^{-\rho} t^{-\alpha^\vee_{\frac{a+1}{2}}}, t^{-\rho} q^{-\beta_{\frac{a+1}{2}}}) \ , & a \text{ odd} \\ (q^{-\rho+\frac{1}{2}} t^{-\beta^\vee_{\frac{a}{2}}-\frac{1}{2}}, t^{-\rho+\frac{1}{2}} q^{-\alpha_{\frac{a}{2}+1}-\frac{1}{2}}) \ , & a \text{ even} \end{cases} \ . \tag{E.6}$$

Using standard identities of Schur polynomials [119] we get[11]

$$G(x,y,Q_m,Q_f) = \frac{1}{(q';q')_\infty} \prod_{i,j,k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{a=1}^{2N} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{1-q'^{k-1} \prod_{s=a}^{a+2\ell-2} \tilde{Q}_s \ x_{a,i} y_{a+2\ell-2,j}}{1-q'^{k-1} \prod_{s=a}^{a+2\ell-1} \tilde{Q}_s \ x_{a,i} y_{a+2\ell-1,j}} \ , \tag{E.7}$$

where $q' = \prod_{a=1}^{2N} \tilde{Q}_a = \prod_{a=1}^{N} Q_{m,a} Q_{f,a}$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}^{\vec{\alpha}}_{\vec{\beta}}(Q_m,Q_f;q,t) = \frac{1}{(\tilde{Q};\tilde{Q})_\infty} \prod_{a=1}^{N} q^{\frac{\|\alpha_a\|^2}{2}} t^{\frac{\|\beta_a^\vee\|^2}{2}} \tilde{Z}_{\alpha_a}(t,q) \tilde{Z}_{\beta_a^\vee}(q,t) \times \\ \times \prod_{i,j,k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{r,\ell=1}^{N} \frac{(1-q'^{k-1} Q_{r,r+\ell} Q_{m,r}^{-1} q^{-\alpha_{r+\ell,i}+j-\frac{1}{2}} t^{-\beta^\vee_{r,j}+i-\frac{1}{2}})}{(1-q'^{k-1} Q_{r,r+\ell} Q_{m,r}^{-1} Q_{m,r+\ell} q^{-\beta_{r+\ell,i}+j} t^{-\beta^\vee_{r,j}+i-1})} \times \\ \times \frac{(1-q'^{k-1} Q_{r,r+\ell-1} Q_{m,r+\ell-1} q^{-\beta_{r+\ell-1,i}+j-\frac{1}{2}} t^{-\alpha^\vee_{r,j}+i-\frac{1}{2}})}{(1-q'^{k-1} Q_{r,r+\ell} q^{-\alpha_{r+\ell,i}+j-1} t^{-\alpha^\vee_{r,j}+i})} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{E.8}$$

where

$$Q_{a,b} = \begin{cases} \prod_{k=a}^{b-1} Q_{m,k} Q_{m,k} \ , & a < b \\ q' Q_{b,a}^{-1} \ , & a > b \\ 1 \ , & a = b \\ q' \ , & b = a+N \end{cases} \ . \tag{E.9}$$

Using the K-theoretic Nekrasov function (we use the notation of [107])

$$N_{\mu\nu}(Q;q,t) = \prod_{(i,j)\in\mu} (1-Qq^{\mu_i-j} t^{\nu_j^\vee-i+1}) \prod_{(i,j)\in\nu} (1-Qq^{-\nu_i+j-1} t^{-\mu_j^\vee+i}) \ , \tag{E.10}$$

we also have

$$\prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-Qq^{-\nu_i+j-1} t^{-\mu_j^\vee+i}}{1-Qq^{j-1} t^i} = N_{\mu\nu}(Q;q,t) \ , \tag{E.11}$$

[11] See appendix B of [99] and appendix A of [59] for a proof.

and

$$\frac{\mathcal{K}^{\vec{\alpha}}_{\vec{\beta}}(Q_m,Q_f;q,t)}{\mathcal{K}^{\vec{\varnothing}}_{\vec{\varnothing}}(Q_m,Q_f;q,t)} = \prod_{a=1}^{N} q^{\frac{\|\alpha_a\|^2}{2}} t^{\frac{\|\beta_a^\vee\|^2}{2}} \tilde{Z}_{\alpha_a}(t,q)\tilde{Z}_{\beta_a^\vee}(q,t)\times$$

$$\times \prod_{r,\ell=1}^{N}\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{N_{\beta_r\alpha_{r+\ell}}(p^{\frac{1}{2}}q'^k Q_{r,r+\ell}Q_{m,r}^{-1};q,t)N_{\alpha_r\beta_{r+\ell-1}}(p^{\frac{1}{2}}q'^k Q_{r,r+\ell-1}Q_{m,r+\ell-1};q,t)}{N_{\beta_r\beta_{r+\ell}}(pq'^k Q_{r,r+\ell}Q_{m,r}^{-1}Q_{m,r+\ell};q,t)N_{\alpha_r\alpha_{r+\ell}}(q'^k Q_{r,r+\ell};q,t)} =$$

$$= \prod_{a=1}^{N} q^{\frac{\|\alpha_a\|^2}{2}} t^{\frac{\|\beta_a^\vee\|^2}{2}} \tilde{Z}_{\alpha_a}(t,q)\tilde{Z}_{\beta_a^\vee}(q,t) \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{N_{\beta_a\alpha_a}(p^{\frac{1}{2}}q'^{k+1}Q_{m,a}^{-1};q,t)N_{\alpha_a\beta_a}(p^{\frac{1}{2}}q'^k Q_{m,b};q,t)}{N_{\beta_a\beta_a}(pq'^{k+1};q,t)N_{\alpha_a\alpha_a}(q'^{k+1};q,t)}\times$$

$$\times \prod_{1\le a\neq b\le N}\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{N_{\beta_a\alpha_b}(p^{\frac{1}{2}}q'^k Q_{ab}Q_{m,a}^{-1};q,t)N_{\alpha_a\beta_b}(p^{\frac{1}{2}}q'^k Q_{a,b}Q_{m,b};q,t)}{N_{\beta_a\beta_b}(pq'^k Q_{a,b}Q_{m,a}^{-1}Q_{m,b};q,t)N_{\alpha_a\alpha_b}(q'^k Q_{ab};q,t)} \ , \quad \text{(E.12)}$$

where we used $q' = Q_{a,b}Q_{b,a}$ for $a \neq b$ and $Q_{a,a+N} = q'$. Introducing the elliptic version of the Nekrasov function[12] [13]

$$N_{\mu\nu}(Q;q,t|q') = \prod_{(i,j)\in\mu} \Theta(Qq^{\mu_i-j}t^{\nu_j^\vee-i+1};q') \prod_{(i,j)\in\nu} \Theta(Qq^{-\nu_i+j-1}t^{-\mu_j^\vee+i};q') \ , \quad \text{(E.13)}$$

$$= \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} N_{\mu\nu}(q'^k Q;q,t)N_{\nu\mu}(pq'^{k+1}Q^{-1};q,t) \ , \quad \text{(E.14)}$$

we also have

$$\frac{\mathcal{K}^{\vec{\alpha}}_{\vec{\beta}}(Q_m,Q_f;q,t)}{\mathcal{K}^{\vec{\varnothing}}_{\vec{\varnothing}}(Q_m,Q_f;q,t)} = \prod_{a=1}^{N} \frac{q^{\frac{\|\alpha_a\|^2}{2}} t^{\frac{\|\beta_a^\vee\|^2}{2}} \tilde{Z}_{\alpha_a}(t,q)\tilde{Z}_{\beta_a^\vee}(q,t)}{N_{\beta_a\beta_a}(pq'^{k+1};q,t)N_{\alpha_a\alpha_a}(q'^{k+1};q,t)}\times$$

$$\times \frac{\prod_{a,b=1}^{N} N_{\alpha_a\beta_b}(p^{\frac{1}{2}}Q_{a,b}Q_{m,b};q,t|q')}{\prod_{1\le a\neq b\le N}\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} N_{\beta_a\beta_b}(pq'^k Q_{a,b}Q_{m,a}^{-1}Q_{f,a};q,t)N_{\alpha_a\alpha_b}(q'^k Q_{a,b};q,t)} \ . \quad \text{(E.15)}$$

The denominator cannot be expressed solely in terms of the elliptic Nekrasov functions because of the "wrong" q, t shift. This phenomenon has been related to the anomalous modular transformation property of the open periodic strip [99].

The $\mathbb{R}^4\times\mathbb{T}^2$ Nekrasov instanton partition function of the $U(N)$ theory with N fundamental and anti-fundamental hypers can be computed by gluing two periodic strips,

[12] Notice the symmetry $N_{\mu\nu}(Q;q,t|q') = N_{\nu\mu}(q'pQ^{-1};q,t|q')$.

[13] $N_{\mu\nu}(p^{\frac{1}{2}}x|q') = x^{\frac{|\mu|+|\nu|}{2}} q^{-\frac{\|\nu\|^2-\|\mu\|^2}{4}} t^{-\frac{\|\mu^\vee\|^2-\|\nu^\vee\|^2}{4}} \vartheta_{\mu\nu}(x;q')$ in the notation of [59].

with the result

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\mathbb{R}^4\times\mathbb{T}^2}_{\rm inst} = \sum_{\vec{Y}}\prod_{a=1}^{N}(-Q_{B,a})^{|Y^a|}\frac{\mathcal{K}^{\vec{Y}}_{\vec{\varnothing}}(Q_m,Q_f;q,t)}{\mathcal{K}^{\vec{\varnothing}}_{\vec{\varnothing}}(Q_m,Q_f;q,t)}\frac{\mathcal{K}^{\vec{\varnothing}}_{\vec{Y}}(\bar{Q}_m,\bar{Q}_f;q,t)}{\mathcal{K}^{\vec{\varnothing}}_{\vec{\varnothing}}(\bar{Q}_m,\bar{Q}_f;q,t)} =$$

$$= \sum_{\vec{Y}}\prod_{a=1}^{N}(-Q_{B,a})^{|Y^a|}\prod_{a=1}^{N}\frac{q^{\frac{\|Y^a\|^2}{2}}t^{\frac{\|Y^{a\vee}\|^2}{2}}\tilde{Z}_{Y^a}(t,q)\tilde{Z}_{Y^{a\vee}}(q,t)}{\prod_{k=0}^{\infty}N_{Y^aY^a}(pq'^{k+1};q,t)N_{Y^aY^a}(q'^{k+1};q,t)}\times$$

$$\times\frac{\prod_{a,b=1}^{N}N_{\varnothing Y^b}(p^{\frac12}Q_{ab}\bar{Q}_{m,a};q,t|q')N_{Y^a\varnothing}(p^{\frac12}Q_{ab}Q_{m,b};q,t|q')}{\prod_{1\le a\ne b\le N}N_{Y^aY^b}(Q_{ab};q,t|q')}\ . \tag{E.16}$$

Using

$$q^{\frac{\|\mu\|^2}{2}}t^{\frac{\|\mu^\vee\|^2}{2}}\tilde{Z}_\mu(t,q)\tilde{Z}_{\mu^\vee}(q,t) = \frac{(-p^{-\frac12})^{|\mu|}}{N_{\mu\mu}(1;q,t)}\ , \tag{E.17}$$

we can recast the second line of (E.16) as

$$\frac{\prod_{a=1}^{N}(p^{-\frac12}Q_{B,a})^{|Y^a|}}{\prod_{a=1}^{N}N_{Y^aY^a}(1;q,t|q')}\ , \tag{E.18}$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\mathbb{R}^4\times\mathbb{T}^2}_{\rm inst} = \sum_{\vec{Y}}\prod_{a=1}^{N}(p^{-\frac12}Q_{B,a})^{|Y^a|}\prod_{a,b=1}^{N}\frac{N_{\varnothing Y^b}(p^{\frac12}Q_{ab}\bar{Q}_{m,a};q,t|q')N_{Y^a\varnothing}(p^{\frac12}Q_{ab}Q_{m,b};q,t|q')}{N_{Y^aY^b}(Q_{ab};q,t|q')}\ . \tag{E.19}$$

In order to make contact with the field theory language, it is useful to introduce the parametrization

$$Q_{ab} = \begin{cases} A_aA_b^{-1}\ , & a\le b \\ q'A_aA_b^{-1}\ , & a>b \end{cases}\ ,\quad Q_{m,a} = A_aQ_ap^{-\frac12}\ ,\quad \bar{Q}_{m,a} = A_a^{-1}\bar{Q}_ap^{-\frac12}\ , \tag{E.20}$$

so that (4.5) is automatically satisfied. Using the shift property

$$N_{\mu\nu}(q'Q|q') = N_{\mu\nu}(Q|q')\ (-Q)^{-|\mu|-|\nu|}q^{\frac12(|\nu|+\|\nu\|^2+|\mu|-\|\mu\|^2)}t^{-\frac12(|\mu|-\|\mu^\vee\|^2+|\nu|+\|\nu^\vee\|^2)}, \tag{E.21}$$

we can rewrite

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\mathbb{R}^4\times\mathbb{T}^2}_{\rm inst} = \sum_{\vec{Y}}\prod_{a=1}^{N}\left(\frac{p^{-\frac12}Q_{B,a}}{\prod_{b=1}^{N}(p^{\frac12}\bar{Q}_{m,b})}\right)^{|Y^a|}\frac{\prod_{b\le a}(p^{\frac12}\bar{Q}_{m,b})^{|Y^a|}}{\prod_{b<a}(p^{\frac12}Q_{m,b})^{|Y^a|}}\times$$

$$\times\prod_{a,b=1}^{N}\frac{N_{\varnothing Y^b}(A_b^{-1}\bar{Q}_a;q,t|q')N_{Y^a\varnothing}(A_aQ_b;q,t|q')}{N_{Y^aY^b}(A_aA_b^{-1};q,t|q')}\ . \tag{E.22}$$

Generically, for M glued strips labeled by (c) we have [120]

$$\prod_a Q_{B,a}^{(c)\,|Y_a^{(c)}|} = (Q_{B,1}^{(c)}p^{\frac12}Q_{m,1}^{(c-1)})^{\Sigma_a|Y_a^{(c)}|}\frac{\prod_{b<a}(p^{\frac12}Q_{m,b}^{(c)})^{|Y_a^{(c)}|}}{\prod_{b\le a}(p^{\frac12}Q_{m,b}^{(c-1)})^{|Y_a^{(c)}|}}\ , \tag{E.23}$$

so that we can define a renormalized expansion parameter $\tilde{Q}_B$ and write (4.6).

References

[1] L. F. Alday, D. Gaiotto, and Y. Tachikawa, "Liouville Correlation Functions from Four-dimensional Gauge Theories," Lett. Math. Phys. **91** (2010) 167–197, arXiv:0906.3219 [hep-th].

[2] D. Gaiotto, "N=2 dualities," JHEP **08** (2012) 034, arXiv:0904.2715 [hep-th].

[3] N. Wyllard, "A(N-1) conformal Toda field theory correlation functions from conformal N = 2 SU(N) quiver gauge theories," JHEP **11** (2009) 002, arXiv:0907.2189 [hep-th].

[4] N. A. Nekrasov, "Seiberg-Witten prepotential from instanton counting," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7** (2004) 831–864, arXiv:hep-th/0206161 [hep-th].

[5] N. Nekrasov and A. Okounkov, "Seiberg-Witten theory and random partitions," arXiv:hep-th/0306238 [hep-th].

[6] A. Belavin, A. Polyakov, and A. Zamolodchikov, "Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory," Nuclear Physics B **241** (1984) no. 2, 333 – 380. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/055032138490052X.

[7] L. F. Alday, D. Gaiotto, S. Gukov, Y. Tachikawa, and H. Verlinde, "Loop and surface operators in N=2 gauge theory and Liouville modular geometry," JHEP **01** (2010) 113, arXiv:0909.0945 [hep-th].

[8] N. Drukker, J. Gomis, T. Okuda, and J. Teschner, "Gauge Theory Loop Operators and Liouville Theory," JHEP **02** (2010) 057, arXiv:0909.1105 [hep-th].

[9] E. Frenkel, S. Gukov, and J. Teschner, "Surface Operators and Separation of Variables," arXiv:1506.07508 [hep-th].

[10] I. Coman, M. Gabella, and J. Teschner, "Line operators in theories of class $\mathcal{S}$, quantized moduli space of flat connections, and Toda field theory," arXiv:1505.05898 [hep-th].

[11] J. Gomis and B. Le Floch, "M2-brane surface operators and gauge theory dualities in Toda," arXiv:1407.1852 [hep-th].

[12] K. Hosomichi, S. Lee, and J. Park, "AGT on the S-duality Wall," JHEP **1012** (2010) 079, arXiv:1009.0340 [hep-th].

[13] J. Teschner and G. Vartanov, "6j symbols for the modular double, quantum hyperbolic geometry, and supersymmetric gauge theories," Lett. Math. Phys. **104** (2014) 527–551, arXiv:1202.4698 [hep-th].

[14] N. Doroud, J. Gomis, B. Le Floch, and S. Lee, "Exact Results in D=2 Supersymmetric Gauge Theories," JHEP **05** (2013) 093, arXiv:1206.2606 [hep-th].

[15] T. Okuda, "Line operators in supersymmetric gauge theories and the 2d-4d relation," arXiv:1412.7126 [hep-th].

[16] S. Gukov, "Surface Operators," arXiv:1412.7127 [hep-th].

[17] J. Teschner, "Exact results on N=2 supersymmetric gauge theories," arXiv:1412.7145 [hep-th].

[18] J. D. Blum and K. A. Intriligator, "New phases of string theory and 6-D RG fixed points via branes at orbifold singularities," Nucl. Phys. **B506** (1997) 199–222, arXiv:hep-th/9705044 [hep-th].

[19] H. Awata and Y. Yamada, "Five-dimensional AGT Conjecture and the Deformed Virasoro Algebra," JHEP **01** (2010) 125, arXiv:0910.4431 [hep-th].

[20] J. Shiraishi, H. Kubo, H. Awata, and S. Odake, "A Quantum deformation of the Virasoro algebra and the Macdonald symmetric functions," Lett. Math. Phys. **38** (1996) 33–51, arXiv:q-alg/9507034 [q-alg].

[21] H. Awata, H. Kubo, S. Odake, and J. Shiraishi, "Quantum W(N) algebras and Macdonald polynomials," Commun. Math. Phys. **179** (1996) 401–416, arXiv:q-alg/9508011 [q-alg].

[22] H. Awata and Y. Yamada, "Five-dimensional AGT Relation and the Deformed beta-ensemble," Prog. Theor. Phys. **124** (2010) 227–262, arXiv:1004.5122 [hep-th].

[23] A. Mironov, A. Morozov, S. Shakirov, and A. Smirnov, "Proving AGT conjecture as HS duality: extension to five dimensions," Nucl. Phys. **B855** (2012) 128–151, arXiv:1105.0948 [hep-th].

[24] Y. Zenkevich, "Generalized Macdonald polynomials, spectral duality for conformal blocks and AGT correspondence in five dimensions," JHEP **05** (2015) 131, arXiv:1412.8592 [hep-th].

[25] A. Morozov and Y. Zenkevich, "Decomposing Nekrasov Decomposition," arXiv:1510.01896 [hep-th].

[26] F. Nieri, S. Pasquetti, and F. Passerini, "3d and 5d Gauge Theory Partition Functions as q-deformed CFT Correlators," Lett. Math. Phys. **105** (2015) no. 1, 109–148, arXiv:1303.2626 [hep-th].

[27] F. Nieri, S. Pasquetti, F. Passerini, and A. Torrielli, "5D partition functions, q-Virasoro systems and integrable spin-chains," JHEP **12** (2014) 040, arXiv:1312.1294 [hep-th].

[28] K. Hosomichi, R.-K. Seong, and S. Terashima, "Supersymmetric Gauge Theories on the Five-Sphere," Nucl. Phys. **B865** (2012) 376–396, arXiv:1203.0371 [hep-th].

[29] J. Klln and M. Zabzine, "Twisted supersymmetric 5D Yang-Mills theory and contact geometry," JHEP **05** (2012) 125, arXiv:1202.1956 [hep-th].

[30] J. Klln, J. Qiu, and M. Zabzine, "The perturbative partition function of supersymmetric 5D Yang-Mills theory with matter on the five-sphere," JHEP **08** (2012) 157, arXiv:1206.6008 [hep-th].

[31] Y. Imamura, "Perturbative partition function for squashed S^5," arXiv:1210.6308 [hep-th].

[32] G. Lockhart and C. Vafa, "Superconformal Partition Functions and Non-perturbative Topological Strings," arXiv:1210.5909 [hep-th].

[33] H.-C. Kim, J. Kim, and S. Kim, "Instantons on the 5-sphere and M5-branes," arXiv:1211.0144 [hep-th].

[34] H.-C. Kim and S. Kim, "M5-branes from gauge theories on the 5-sphere," JHEP **05** (2013) 144, arXiv:1206.6339 [hep-th].

[35] J. A. Minahan, A. Nedelin, and M. Zabzine, "5D super Yang-Mills theory and the correspondence to $\mathrm{AdS}_7/\mathrm{CFT}_6$," arXiv:1304.1016 [hep-th].

[36] H.-C. Kim, S.-S. Kim, and K. Lee, "5-dim Superconformal Index with Enhanced En Global Symmetry," JHEP **10** (2012) 142, arXiv:1206.6781 [hep-th].

[37] S. Terashima, "Supersymmetric gauge theories on S^4 x S^1," Phys. Rev. **D89** (2014) no. 12, 125001, arXiv:1207.2163 [hep-th].

[38] A. Iqbal and C. Vafa, "BPS Degeneracies and Superconformal Index in Diverse Dimensions," Phys. Rev. **D90** (2014) no. 10, 105031, arXiv:1210.3605 [hep-th].

[39] F. Benini, S. Benvenuti, and Y. Tachikawa, "Webs of five-branes and N=2 superconformal field theories," JHEP **09** (2009) 052, arXiv:0906.0359 [hep-th].

[40] C. Kozcaz, S. Pasquetti, and N. Wyllard, "A & B model approaches to surface operators and Toda theories," JHEP **08** (2010) 042, arXiv:1004.2025 [hep-th].

[41] V. Mitev and E. Pomoni, "Toda 3-Point Functions From Topological Strings," JHEP **06** (2015) 049, arXiv:1409.6313 [hep-th].

[42] M. Isachenkov, V. Mitev, and E. Pomoni, "Toda 3-Point Functions From Topological Strings II," arXiv:1412.3395 [hep-th].

[43] M. Aganagic, N. Haouzi, C. Kozcaz, and S. Shakirov, "Gauge/Liouville Triality," arXiv:1309.1687 [hep-th].

[44] M. Aganagic and S. Shakirov, "Gauge/Vortex duality and AGT," arXiv:1412.7132 [hep-th].

[45] C. Beem, T. Dimofte, and S. Pasquetti, "Holomorphic Blocks in Three Dimensions," JHEP **1412** (2014) 177, arXiv:1211.1986 [hep-th].

[46] S. Pasquetti, "Factorisation of N = 2 Theories on the Squashed 3-Sphere," JHEP **04** (2012) 120, arXiv:1111.6905 [hep-th].

[47] Y. Yoshida and K. Sugiyama, "Localization of 3d $\mathcal{N}$ = 2 Supersymmetric Theories on $S^1 \times D^2$," arXiv:1409.6713 [hep-th].

[48] R. Dijkgraaf and C. Vafa, "Toda Theories, Matrix Models, Topological Strings, and N=2 Gauge Systems," arXiv:0909.2453 [hep-th].

[49] R. Dijkgraaf and C. Vafa, "On geometry and matrix models," Nucl. Phys. **B644** (2002) 21–39, arXiv:hep-th/0207106 [hep-th].

[50] R. Dijkgraaf and C. Vafa, "Matrix models, topological strings, and supersymmetric gauge theories," Nucl. Phys. **B644** (2002) 3–20, arXiv:hep-th/0206255 [hep-th].

[51] M. Aganagic, N. Haouzi, and S. Shakirov, "A_n-Triality," arXiv:1403.3657 [hep-th].

[52] N. Seiberg, "New theories in six-dimensions and matrix description of M theory on T**5 and T**5 / Z(2)," Phys. Lett. **B408** (1997) 98–104, arXiv:hep-th/9705221 [hep-th].

[53] M. Berkooz, M. Rozali, and N. Seiberg, "Matrix description of M theory on T**4 and T**5," Phys. Lett. **B408** (1997) 105–110, arXiv:hep-th/9704089 [hep-th].

[54] A. Losev, G. W. Moore, and S. L. Shatashvili, "M & m's," Nucl. Phys. **B522** (1998) 105–124, arXiv:hep-th/9707250 [hep-th].

[55] M. Aganagic and N. Haouzi, "ADE Little String Theory on a Riemann Surface (and Triality)," arXiv:1506.04183 [hep-th].

[56] F. Nieri and S. Pasquetti, "Factorisation and holomorphic blocks in 4d," arXiv:1507.00261 [hep-th].

[57] T. J. Hollowood, A. Iqbal, and C. Vafa, "Matrix models, geometric engineering and elliptic genera," JHEP **03** (2008) 069, arXiv:hep-th/0310272 [hep-th].

[58] B. Haghighat, C. Kozcaz, G. Lockhart, and C. Vafa, "Orbifolds of M-strings," Phys. Rev. **D89** (2014) no. 4, 046003, arXiv:1310.1185 [hep-th].

[59] S. Hohenegger and A. Iqbal, "M-strings, elliptic genera and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ string amplitudes," Fortsch. Phys. **62** (2014) 155–206, arXiv:1310.1325 [hep-th].

[60] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, "On the gauge theory / geometry correspondence," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **3** (1999) 1415–1443, arXiv:hep-th/9811131 [hep-th].

[61] F. Cachazo, K. A. Intriligator, and C. Vafa, "A Large N duality via a geometric transition," Nucl. Phys. **B603** (2001) 3–41, arXiv:hep-th/0103067 [hep-th].

[62] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Marino, and C. Vafa, "Matrix model as a mirror of Chern-Simons theory," JHEP **02** (2004) 010, arXiv:hep-th/0211098 [hep-th].

[63] M. Aganagic, M. C. N. Cheng, R. Dijkgraaf, D. Krefl, and C. Vafa, "Quantum Geometry of Refined Topological Strings," JHEP **11** (2012) 019, arXiv:1105.0630 [hep-th].

[64] J. J. Heckman, D. R. Morrison, T. Rudelius, and C. Vafa, "Atomic Classification of 6D SCFTs," Fortsch. Phys. **63** (2015) 468–530, arXiv:1502.05405 [hep-th].

[65] J. J. Heckman, D. R. Morrison, and C. Vafa, "On the Classification of 6D SCFTs and Generalized ADE Orbifolds," JHEP **05** (2014) 028, arXiv:1312.5746 [hep-th]. [Erratum: JHEP06,017(2015)].

[66] M. Del Zotto, C. Vafa, and D. Xie, "Geometric Engineering, Mirror Symmetry and 6d (1,0) → 4d, N=2," arXiv:1504.08348 [hep-th].

[67] L. Bhardwaj, "Classification of 6d N=(1,0) gauge theories," arXiv:1502.06594 [hep-th].

[68] B. Haghighat, "From strings in 6d to strings in 5d," arXiv:1502.06645 [hep-th].

[69] K. Ohmori, H. Shimizu, Y. Tachikawa, and K. Yonekura, "6d $\mathcal{N} = (1,0)$ theories on T^2 and class S theories: Part I," JHEP **07** (2015) 014, arXiv:1503.06217 [hep-th].

[70] K. Ohmori, H. Shimizu, Y. Tachikawa, and K. Yonekura, "6d $\mathcal{N}$=$(1,0)$ theories on S^1/T^2 and class S theories: part II," arXiv:1508.00915 [hep-th].

[71] G. Zafrir, "Brane webs, $5d$ gauge theories and $6d$ $\mathcal{N}$= $(1,0)$ SCFT's," arXiv:1509.02016 [hep-th].

[72] J. Kim, S. Kim, and K. Lee, "Higgsing towards E-strings," arXiv:1510.03128 [hep-th].

[73] K. Ohmori and H. Shimizu, "S^1/T^2 Compactifications of 6d $\mathcal{N} = (1,0)$ Theories and Brane Webs," arXiv:1509.03195 [hep-th].

[74] A. Iqbal, C. Kozçaz, and S.-T. Yau, "Elliptic virasoro conformal blocks.".

[75] H. Awata, H. Kubo, S. Odake, and J. Shiraishi, "Virasoro type symmetries in solvable models," in Extended and Quantum Algebras and their Applications to Physics. 1996. arXiv:hep-th/9612233 [hep-th].

[76] S. Odake, "Beyond CFT: Deformed Virasoro and elliptic algebras," in Proceedings, Summer School, Banff, Canada, June 27-July 10, 1999, pp. 307–449. 1999. arXiv:hep-th/9910226 [hep-th]. http://alice.cern.ch/format/showfull?sysnb=0332345.

[77] T. T. Dumitrescu, G. Festuccia, and N. Seiberg, "Exploring Curved Superspace," JHEP **08** (2012) 141, arXiv:1205.1115 [hep-th].

[78] G. Festuccia and N. Seiberg, "Rigid Supersymmetric Theories in Curved Superspace," JHEP **06** (2011) 114, arXiv:1105.0689 [hep-th].

[79] B. Assel, D. Cassani, and D. Martelli, "Localization on Hopf surfaces," JHEP **08** (2014) 123, arXiv:1405.5144 [hep-th].

[80] C. Closset and I. Shamir, "The $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Chiral Multiplet on $T^2 \times S^2$ and Supersymmetric Localization," JHEP **1403** (2014) 040, arXiv:1311.2430 [hep-th].

[81] C. Closset, T. T. Dumitrescu, G. Festuccia, and Z. Komargodski, "From Rigid Supersymmetry to Twisted Holomorphic Theories," Phys. Rev. **D90** (2014) no. 8, 085006, arXiv:1407.2598 [hep-th].

[82] L. F. Alday, D. Martelli, P. Richmond, and J. Sparks, "Localization on Three-Manifolds," JHEP **1310** (2013) 095, arXiv:1307.6848 [hep-th].

[83] W. Peelaers, "Higgs branch localization of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories on S^3 x S^1," JHEP **08** (2014) 060, arXiv:1403.2711 [hep-th].

[84] S. Cecotti, D. Gaiotto, and C. Vafa, "tt^* geometry in 3 and 4 dimensions," JHEP **05** (2014) 055, arXiv:1312.1008 [hep-th].

[85] V. P. Spiridonov, "Theta hypergeometric series," ArXiv Mathematics e-prints (Mar., 2003) , math/0303204.

[86] S. Shadchin, "On F-term contribution to effective action," JHEP **08** (2007) 052, arXiv:hep-th/0611278 [hep-th].

[87] Y. Yoshida, "Factorization of 4d N=1 superconformal index," arXiv:1403.0891 [hep-th].

[88] H.-Y. Chen and H.-Y. Chen, "Heterotic Surface Defects and Dualities from 2d/4d Indices," JHEP **10** (2014) 004, arXiv:1407.4587 [hep-th].

[89] A. Hanany and D. Tong, "Vortices, instantons and branes," JHEP **07** (2003) 037, arXiv:hep-th/0306150 [hep-th].

[90] G. Bonelli, A. Tanzini, and J. Zhao, "Vertices, Vortices and Interacting Surface Operators," JHEP **06** (2012) 178, arXiv:1102.0184 [hep-th].

[91] T. Dimofte, S. Gukov, and L. Hollands, "Vortex Counting and Lagrangian 3-manifolds," Lett. Math. Phys. **98** (2011) 225–287, arXiv:1006.0977 [hep-th].

[92] G. Bonelli, A. Tanzini, and J. Zhao, "The Liouville side of the Vortex," JHEP **09** (2011) 096, arXiv:1107.2787 [hep-th].

[93] M. Bullimore, H.-C. Kim, and P. Koroteev, "Defects and Quantum Seiberg-Witten Geometry," JHEP **05** (2015) 095, arXiv:1412.6081 [hep-th].

[94] F. Benini, R. Eager, K. Hori, and Y. Tachikawa, "Elliptic genera of two-dimensional N=2 gauge theories with rank-one gauge groups," Lett. Math. Phys. **104** (2014) 465–493, arXiv:1305.0533 [hep-th].

[95] F. Benini, R. Eager, K. Hori, and Y. Tachikawa, "Elliptic Genera of 2d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Gauge Theories," Commun. Math. Phys. **333** (2015) no. 3, 1241–1286, arXiv:1308.4896 [hep-th].

[96] M. Honda and Y. Yoshida, "Supersymmetric index on $T^2 \times S^2$ and elliptic genus," arXiv:1504.04355 [hep-th].

[97] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Marino, and C. Vafa, "The Topological vertex," Commun. Math. Phys. **254** (2005) 425–478, arXiv:hep-th/0305132 [hep-th].

[98] A. Iqbal, C. Kozcaz, and C. Vafa, "The Refined topological vertex," JHEP **10** (2009) 069, arXiv:hep-th/0701156 [hep-th].

[99] B. Haghighat, A. Iqbal, C. Kozaz, G. Lockhart, and C. Vafa, "M-Strings," Commun. Math. Phys. **334** (2015) no. 2, 779–842, arXiv:1305.6322 [hep-th].

[100] O. Aharony and A. Hanany, "Branes, superpotentials and superconformal fixed points," Nucl. Phys. **B504** (1997) 239–271, arXiv:hep-th/9704170 [hep-th].

[101] O. Aharony, A. Hanany, and B. Kol, "Webs of (p,q) five-branes, five-dimensional field theories and grid diagrams," JHEP **01** (1998) 002, arXiv:hep-th/9710116 [hep-th].

[102] N. C. Leung and C. Vafa, "Branes and toric geometry," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 91–118, arXiv:hep-th/9711013 [hep-th].

[103] B. Kol and J. Rahmfeld, "BPS spectrum of five-dimensional field theories, (p,q) webs and curve counting," JHEP **08** (1998) 006, arXiv:hep-th/9801067 [hep-th].

[104] H. Awata and H. Kanno, "Instanton counting, Macdonald functions and the moduli space of D-branes," JHEP **05** (2005) 039, arXiv:hep-th/0502061 [hep-th].

[105] M. Taki, "Refined Topological Vertex and Instanton Counting," JHEP **03** (2008) 048, arXiv:0710.1776 [hep-th].

[106] A. Iqbal and A.-K. Kashani-Poor, "The Vertex on a strip," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **10** (2006) 317–343, arXiv:hep-th/0410174 [hep-th].

[107] H. Awata and H. Kanno, "Refined BPS state counting from Nekrasov's formula and Macdonald functions," Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A24** (2009) 2253–2306, arXiv:0805.0191 [hep-th].

[108] F. Nieri, Integrable structures in supersymmetric gauge theories. PhD thesis, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK, 2015.

[109] N. Dorey, S. Lee, and T. J. Hollowood, "Quantization of Integrable Systems and a 2d/4d Duality," JHEP **10** (2011) 077, arXiv:1103.5726 [hep-th].

[110] H.-Y. Chen, N. Dorey, T. J. Hollowood, and S. Lee, "A New 2d/4d Duality via Integrability," JHEP **09** (2011) 040, arXiv:1104.3021 [hep-th].

[111] H.-Y. Chen, T. J. Hollowood, and P. Zhao, "A 5d/3d duality from relativistic integrable system," JHEP **07** (2012) 139, arXiv:1205.4230 [hep-th].

[112] B. Feigin, A. Hoshino, J. Shibahara, J. Shiraishi, and S. Yanagida, "Kernel function and quantum algebras," ArXiv e-prints (Feb., 2010) , arXiv:1002.2485 [math.QA].

[113] B. Feigin, K. Hashizume, A. Hoshino, J. Shiraishi, and S. Yanagida, "A commutative algebra on degenerate cp1 and macdonald polynomials," Journal of

Mathematical Physics **50** (2009) no. 9, –. http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jmp/50/9/10.1063/1.3192773.

[114] S. S. Razamat, "On the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal index and eigenfunctions of the elliptic RS model," Lett. Math. Phys. **104** (2014) 673–690, arXiv:1309.0278 [hep-th].

[115] Y. Saito, "Elliptic Ding-Iohara Algebra and the Free Field Realization of the Elliptic Macdonald Operator," ArXiv e-prints (Jan., 2013) , arXiv:1301.4912 [math.QA].

[116] H. Awata, S. Odake, and J. Shiraishi, "Integral Representations of the Macdonald Symmetric Functions," in eprint arXiv:q-alg/9506006, p. 6006. June, 1995.

[117] H. Awata, B. Feigin, A. Hoshino, M. Kanai, J. Shiraishi, and S. Yanagida, "Notes on Ding-Iohara algebra and AGT conjecture," ArXiv e-prints (June, 2011) , arXiv:1106.4088 [math-ph].

[118] J.-t. Ding and K. Iohara, "Generalization and deformation of Drinfeld quantum affine algebras," Lett. Math. Phys. **41** (1997) 181–193.

[119] I. Macdonald, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials. Oxford mathematical monographs. Clarendon Press, 1998. https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=srv90XiUbZoC.

[120] L. Bao, E. Pomoni, M. Taki, and F. Yagi, "M5-Branes, Toric Diagrams and Gauge Theory Duality," JHEP **04** (2012) 105, arXiv:1112.5228 [hep-th].